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Oceans are acidifying as atmospheric CO$_2$ is drawn down. This process, known as ocean acidification (OA), is well known and documented. Over the next 100 years, pH of the surface ocean is projected to decrease by up to 0.35 units. This CO$_2$ drawdown has a direct effect on dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) balance in the ocean. OA is expected to impact calcifying organisms that rely on constituencies of the DIC system, specifically carbonate ion [$CO_3^{2-}$]. It is clear that externally calcified structures, such as coral skeletons, bivalve shells, etc., will be significantly affected as pH, and consequently [$CO_3^{2-}$], of the oceans decline. What is unclear, however, is how these changes will impact internally calcified structures, such as earstones (otoliths) of teleost fish. This dissertation examines the impacts of OA on otolith mineralization in larval reef fish *Amphiprion clarkii* and *A. frenatus*. This research included the development of a
laboratory controller system for control of experimental aquaria pH through pCO₂ dosing, exposure of larvae from hatch to settlement under various pCO₂ treatments and evaluation of otolith structure and morphology across treatments within a single genus.

No standard method for pH-stat CO₂ dosing controllers existed prior to this study. Incorporating low-cost, flexible hardware allowed high precision and accuracy pH controllers to be designed and implemented. Following system stability studies, we found that our system performed at or beyond the level of control exhibited in the literature.

Two species of clownfish, *Amphiprion clarkii* and *A. frenatus*, were exposed to different pCO₂ conditions, reared to settlement and otoliths extracted and studied. I found that the sagittae (largest of the 3 otolith types) of both species exhibited circularity changes towards more oblong otoliths under increased pCO₂. For *A. clarkii*, I found a significant negative relation between pCO₂ and lapilli otolith circularity, indicating a shift toward more circular lapilli under increased pCO₂. Since lapilli are critical to gravisensing in teleosts these results explain my anecdotal observations that, at high pCO₂, larvae exhibited lethargic, uncoordinated swim patterns. The core development of otoliths (sagittae, lapilli, and asterisci) from both species was analyzed using SEM imagery. Otolith images were scored by 6 independent readers for core development (poorly developed to well-developed). Otolith scores were regressed against aragonite saturation state (*Ω*ₐᵣ). Results showed significant and strong relations between *Ω*ₐᵣ and development score, indicating a shift toward protruding, unorganized crystal clusters within the core under high pH/low *Ω*ₐᵣ.
This research is the first to comprehensively examine the impact of OA on the otolith system in larval fish. The research revealed direct impacts on otolith structure and morphology as well as mineralogy. These changes will directly impact survival of the larvae. It remains unknown whether the otolith system recovers post-settlement or whether the anecdotal observations on swimming behavior are directly related to otolith deformation. Future research will include exploration of these relations across genera as well as more deeply examine the recovery of the system and behavioral impacts of otolith deformation across life stages.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Global climate change is having and will continue to have significant global ecological impacts. Increased atmospheric CO$_2$ has broad-reaching implications for global ecosystems and has associated implications in ocean chemistry and therefore marine ecology. Additionally, rapid changes in global average temperature are affecting local habitats, changing the range of some species [e.g. (Walther et al., 2002; Sorte et al., 2013)] while doing tremendous harm to sessile communities including most of the world’s coral reef habitats [e.g. (Hughes et al., 2003)].

The ocean is a significant sink of CO$_2$, drawing down about 30% of the anthropogenic production between 1800-1994 (Sabine et al., 2004). Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) species are derived from conversion of dissolved CO$_2$ (Fig. 1). These components serve as the foundational units with which marine organisms create organic matter and skeletal structures.
As atmospheric CO$_2$ increases, oceans are acidifying through the conversion of CO$_2$ to carbonic acid [H$_2$CO$_3$] and resultant dissociation to H$^+$, bicarbonate [HCO$_3^-$] and carbonate [CO$_3^{2-}$] ions (Fig. 1). Under the business-as-usual carbon emission model, it is predicted that ocean pH will decrease by up to 0.35 pH units by 2100 (IPCC, 2007). This pH change drives a subsequent shift in ocean water carbonate series speciation, reducing [CO$_3^{2-}$] while increasing [HCO$_3^-$] and pCO$_2$ (Fig. 2).
Calcium carbonate minerals are used by some marine organisms to create hard structures, such as shells (such as those found in calcareous plankton), teeth (such as those found in some echinoderms), etc. Mineralization of these structures is driven by saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{CaCO}_3}$, Equation 1):

$$\Omega_{\text{CaCO}_3} = \frac{a_{\text{Ca}^{2+}} \times a_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}}}{K_{sp}}$$

*Equation 1.*

The relationship between atmospheric $\text{CO}_2$ and $\Omega_{\text{CaCO}_3}$ has been thoroughly studied, specifically with regards to $\text{CO}_2$ flux between the atmosphere and ocean as well as the associated pH and carbon cycling [e.g. (Ridgwell and Zeebe, 2005) and references therein]. However, research related to the ecological effects specific to ocean
The research presented here focuses on a large gap in our understanding of the impact of ocean acidification on bony fish (Infraclass Teleostei, Class Actinopterygii). Teleosts include around 20,000 extant species comprising most of the fish in today’s oceans (Miller et al., 2007). These fish represent significant economic and ecologic wealth. From an economic standpoint, fin-fisheries represent a central food source for humans as well as providing other fish-based products such as fertilizers, etc. In addition to subsistence benefits, the US marine ornamental trade represents a large source of economic activity with imports of over 1,800 species documented in 2005 (Rhyne et al., 2012). Understanding the impacts of global climate change, specifically ocean acidification, on fin-fish is therefore extremely important for sustainable management of fisheries.

Within each ear of teleost fish resides the otoconia. The otoconia is comprised of three calcium carbonate (aragonite) ear stones (otoliths). The otoliths, 3 on each side, act under the influence of acceleration in a straight line to stimulate macular hair cells by their movement relative to fluid (endolymph) in the saccule and utricle. The otoliths (sagitta, lapillus, asteriscus) are located on each side of the head proximally ventral to the brain (Fig. 3A). As sensory structures the otoliths provide information for hearing and balance via the otoconic sensory maculae [Fig. 3B, (Fekete, 2003)]. Each otolith is located in a semicircular canal (Fig. 3A) filled with a fluid (endolymph).
Figure 3. A: A model larval fish otoconia, showing saggita and lapillus otoliths (Whitfield et al., 2002). B: A model showing otolith interior structure and sensory maculae (Fekete, 2003).

The otolith grows daily, utilizing a web-like matrix of extracellular proteins, (Kang et al., 2008; Takagi et al., 2005; Murayama et al., 2002; Tohse et al., 2008), upon which mineralized calcium carbonate precipitates. The otoliths form over the lifetime of the individual, continually mineralizing new growth over the previous, growing such that a structure similar to an onion is revealed in thin section (Fig. 2B). The otolith grows continuously on all sides of the otolith, with one side growing much faster (the
“crystallization front”). At the crystallization front, the calcite saturation state of the endolymph is greater than 1 (supersaturated) (Eq. 1). This supersaturation is thought to be maintained by proton pumps in the epithelial cells adjacent to the site of crystallization (Borelli et al., 2003; Ishimatsu et al., 2008), which maintain the pH gradient required for carbonate-bicarbonate balance (Fig. 4A). Locally, the carbonate sequence is shifted towards carbonate ion availability (Fig. 4B, 5) and increased saturation state ($\Omega$, Eq. 1) along the crystallization front (Tohse et al., 2006). Mineralization at the crystallization front, therefore, is accelerated compared to the opposite side.

Figure 4. A. Sagittal otolith within the sacculus showing proposed chemical gradients modeled after in situ mRNA expression experiments of rainbow trout. B. Carbonate speciation along physiological pathways to the otolith crystallization site (Tohse et al., 2006).
Since the otolith contains neither vasculature nor cellular inclusions, previous deposition remains unchanged throughout the life of the organism. Even under extreme stress fish do not resorb material mineralized onto the otolith (Campana and Thorrold, 2001). Since resorption does not occur, the chemical composition of the otolith remains intact over the life of the fish. Chemical markers are recorded in response to environmental conditions at the time of formation, such as trace element and stable isotopic composition. These markers are used to reconstruct environmental life history of individual fish. Over the past few decades, extensive studies utilizing otoliths as a source of information for identifying individual life history characteristics such as: age and growth studies yielding population structure [e.g. (Dulcic, 1995; Fekete, 2003; Gauldie, 1999; Mc Dougall, 2004; Ponton, 2006)], trace element proxies for connectivity and habitat identification [e.g. (Arai et al., 2007; Bath et al., 2000; Campbell et al., 2002; Chittaro et al., 2006; Coghlán et al., 2007; Gemperline et al., 2002; Gillanders, 2005; Gillanders and Kingsford, 2003; Kalish, 1993; Miller and Kent, 2009; Thorrold et al., 1998)], and isotopic proxies for water temperature, fish metabolism, and age [e.g. (Ghosh et al., 2007; Thorrold et al., 1997; Walthier and Thorrold, 2009)].

Related to metabolism, layers of protein are laid daily upon the otolith in a mesh-like fashion (Dauphin and Dufour, 2003; Murayama et al., 2005; Murayama et al., 2002; Sumanas et al., 2003). Over this protein matrix, calcium carbonate mineralizes. Several studies have shown that aragonite comprises the dominant inorganic phase of the otolith, while vaterite, calcite, and high-Mg calcite are found in increasingly lesser proportions [e.g. (Carlström, 1963; Dauphin and Dufour, 2008; Falini et al., 2005)]. These metastable
aragonite crystals have a higher solubility than true calcite [e.g. (Zhong and Mucci, 1989)] and their formation is controlled by extracellular endolymph proteins (Murayama et al., 2005; Murayama et al., 2002; Tohse et al., 2008).

Calcium carbonate deposition is controlled by saturation state ($\Omega$) of the mineral phase (Eq. 1). Solubility product ($K_{sp}$) is dependent on variables within the depositional environment and differs between each mineral polymorph. Under standard temperature and pressure conditions, applicable to surface water, $K_{sp}$ of calcite is approximately $10^{-8.35}$, while $K_{sp}$ of aragonite is approximately $10^{-8.22}$. Calcite, therefore, is thermodynamically favored over aragonite since aragonite has a higher solubility. Over the past million years, ocean Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations have been relatively constant (Pelejero et al., 2010), suggesting the controlling factor of calcium carbonate deposition to be carbonate ion concentration (CO$_3^{2-}$). In times of “aragonite seas”, such as the Jurassic, magnesium concentrations in the ocean are high, suppressing the rate of calcite precipitation (Stanley et al., 2010; Zhang and Dawe, 2000). During these times, aragonite both forms faster and dissolves more slowly. In times of acidification, carbonate series speciation shifts away from carbonate and towards carbonic acid, lowering the thermodynamically controlling component of calcium carbonate mineralization (Fig. 1 - 2, Eq. 1).

It is currently unclear what effects ocean acidification will have on marine teleosts. Marine calcifiers show a direct response to increased pCO$_2$ [e.g. (Checkley et al., 2009; Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008; Ries et al., 2009; Stanley et al., 2010)]. Marine fish
are calcium carbonate biocalcifiers that use carbonate in both otolith formation and in the gut (Checkley et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2009).

Checkley et al. (2009) found that sagittal otoliths from larval bass increased in size with increasing aquaria pCO$_2$. The authors stated that area had increased, but did not provide direct mass measurements, ignoring the mineralogy of the otolith completely. Though the Checkley et al. (2009) study exposed a large gap in our understanding of otolith-environment interactions, it did very little to explain the causes of morphological changes or the ramifications of such changes. Two additional studies of otolith growth in response to OA have been published. Munday et al. (2011) found little morphological change in sagittal otoliths of orange clownfish (*Amphiprion percula*) in response to OA. Bignami et al. (2013) found a significant change in sagitta in the cobia (*Rachycentron canadum*). These studies were performed under different conditions (specifically aquaria dosing of CO$_2$, pH maintenance, and other methodological differences) making it difficult to compare results directly. Image analysis of SEM micrographs (Checkley et al., 2009; Munday et al., 2011) are insufficient to provide data on polymorph heterogeneity to enable comparison to changes in average density (Bignami et al., 2013). Since density of the otolith is tied to its functional response to gravimetric and acceleration stimuli, such density changes may impact function. Without density measurements, it is impossible to estimate overall mass of the otolith and therefore assess the rate of otolith mineralization. However, SEM image analysis can be used for morphological assessments though this approach suffers some disadvantages as a two-dimensional tool used to assess a three-dimensional structure. Regardless, a change to
otolith size, density, or shape will change navigational signals. These changed navigational signals may manifest in behavioral change such as the listless or kinetotic behavior seen in fish grown in micro and hypergravity (Anken et al., 1998; Anken and Rahmann, 1999; Beier, 1998; Hilbig et al., 2002).

Ocean acidification also shows impacts on other organ systems within teleost fish. Recent studies showed a direct negative effect on olfactory sensing in clownfish from increasing levels of aquaria acidification (Munday et al., 2009; Munday et al., 2010; Nilsson et al., 2012). It is clear that a neurophysiological change is responsible for loss of olfaction sense. Gravitation sense and general visual stimuli may allow fish to overcome olfactory inhibition. For this reason, I believe it is important to focus studies on otolith function. Tying the data from the above studies to direct evidence derived from otolith studies will assist in understanding the question of impacts on survival and ultimately how individual impacts scale along the biological continuum (Fig. 5).

Much work has been completed regarding otolith growth (Beier, 1998; Campana and Thorrold, 2001; Checkley et al., 2009; Morales-Nin, 2000; Murayama et al., 2002) and otolith function (Anken et al., 1998; Lychakov and Rebane, 2005; Riley and Moorman, 2000). Currently, the only studies linking otolith growth and morphology to OA show little change in otolith shape (Munday et al., 2011) or very large changes in calculated otolith density (Bignami et al., 2013). In the latter study, these density data were used to model sound sensitivity changes. The current body of literature does not include gravity sense functional studies. The research presented in this dissertation can be extrapolated to predict changes along the biological continuum (Fig. 5) and may lead to
more thoroughly understand controlling factors in gravity sensing and tie these results to observed decreased fish somatic growth and survival (Munday et al., 2009; Dixson et al., 2010), observed enhanced otolith growth (Checkley et al., 2009), unchanged morphology (Munday et al., 2011), and significantly changed density (Bignami et al., 2013) with response to ocean acidification.

Figure 5. Ecological continuum (figure from Hannigan 2010, pers comm). Proposed research will extrapolate from individual organisms to population level processes and predict underlying molecular regulation effects (circled above).

The research presented here was centered on determining the relation between ocean acidification, otolith morphology, mineral polymorph composition and crystal form (habit) change. For more than a decade, ocean acidification has been the focus of a
multitude of research projects, focusing on topics such as the physics and chemistry of CO₂ flux between the atmosphere and ocean [e.g. (Ridgwell and Zeebe, 2005)], impact on calcification rates of calcareous plankton and coccolithophorids [e.g. (Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008; Shi et al., 2010)], the impact on marine fish homing ability in vivo (Munday et al., 2009), and others. As pH of the ocean decreases, carbonate ion availability will invariably be greatly reduced as the speciation changes to a new equilibrium (Fig. 4). Though this has already been shown to have direct effects on exoskeletal calcifiers (Ries et al., 2009), it is unknown how this new equilibrium will affect biomineral structures found within endoskeletal organisms such as the otoconic organ of teleost fish.

**Development of the Experimental pH-stat System**

*Background*

An exhaustive search of the literature yielded no complete methods in pCO₂ manipulation for use in OA experimentation. While existing literature does discuss, briefly, what controller was used, little detail or system characterization is presented. For this reason, it was important to my research to (1) fully describe and characterize a viable system for OA pCO₂ manipulation, (2) increase pH data density to more fully understand variance in the controlled aquariums, and (3) engineer a cost-effective alternative to large scale industrial aquarium systems. Additionally, because pH electrodes offer a generally stable signal over time and are desirable over more expensive and sensitive pCO₂ ion selective electrodes, I tested a number of electrode types in developing a stable, low-cost pH-stat system.
Experimental design

Standard 40 L glass aquaria were used for the study for ease of replication. To keep the cost of the system low, I used a hobbyist reef tank controller system, Reef Keeper Elite (Digital Aquatics, Woodinville, WA). This system is modular so it can be expanded significantly. Using widely available electronics, replication of hardware is both simple and cost effective. The system I developed used standard solenoid valves for gas delivery and I added a flasher system for intermittent dosing for slower gas introduction.

The use of high precision pH electrodes was also important since small changes in pH correspond to large changes in pCO₂. I therefore tested electrodes from several manufacturers to evaluate performance and recalibration intervals. Electrode stability data was assessed for drift using regression analysis. Electrode response were normalized to mV readout collected using an independent meter. Additionally, stability of system pH was assessed using one-way ANOVA comparing each aquarium controller. These standard deviations on the means were then be compared to existing literature.

Otolith Morphological Studies

Background

Though each calcium carbonate polymorph (calcite, aragonite, vaterite) has an independent K_{sp}. The generalized formula for saturation state of a polymorph (Equ. 1) assists in understanding the dynamics of mineralization in the context of pH change. The saturation state (Ω) will, by definition, be ≥ one (1) under mineral forming conditions. Under carbonate mineral formation conditions in the open ocean, [Ca^{2+}] is assumed
constant since the concentrations of this ion have been relatively constant over long time scales greater than 1 million years (Pelejero et al., 2010). Under steady-state conditions, $K_{sp}$ is constant, leaving the controlling variable $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$. It is logically possible, therefore, that as pH declines thereby decreasing $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$, calcium carbonate mineralization processes will be slowed or reversed. This was shown to be true of many exoskeletal species and inorganic precipitation processes, as stated above. A few studies show increased mineralization, implying some confounding factor for mineralization of the fish otolith structures (Checkley et al., 2009) and some shellfish (Ries et al., 2009). Though it is known that otoliths are, at least for one species, affected by acidified waters, it is not fully known how the organ system itself is functionally impacted.

Oceans are becoming increasingly undersaturated with respect to aragonite ($\Omega_{\text{arag}}$) due to the increasing flux of CO$_2$ from the atmosphere into the ocean, acidifying and shifting carbonate series equilibrium (Pelejero et al., 2010). Consequently, biomineralizers are expected to be severely impacted, especially those that produce aragonitic structures like calcareous plankton, etc. Though otoliths are isolated from the environment in which the fish swims, passive gas diffusion and active ion transport may also result in impacted mineralization under OA conditions. Large areas of the ocean are expected to reach values well below $\Omega_{\text{arag}} = 1$ [Fig. 6, ]. This being the case, widespread mineral dissolution will likely occur. Since the otolith structure is protected from direct interaction with ocean water, the degree to which mineralization or function will be impacted is not known.
The mechanism for otolith deposition requires bicarbonate at the crystallization site (Fig. 3). Using inorganic precipitation as a model, the system is controlled by bicarbonate ion content within the endolymph. This increased bicarbonate ion concentration is facilitated by the active transfer of bicarbonate through the otoconic membrane while pH and $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ gradients are maintained through $\text{Cl}^-/\text{HCO}_3^-$ and $\text{Na}^+/\text{H}^+$ exchangers (Payan et al., 1997; Ishimatsu et al., 2008). It is hypothesized that active bicarbonate ion transfer coupled with localized pH increase may facilitate supersaturation of carbonate ion in the endolymph and thus increase the saturation state of aragonite.
(\Omega_{\text{arag}} \gg 1). It is difficult to directly measure pCO$_2$ of the endolymph of larval fish as at least 100 µL is required for the measurement. The object of my research was to study the effect of OA on early life stages which is viewed as particularly vulnerable stage. As such direct measurement of the endolymph was not possible. Instead, the research related otolith morphology to modeled pCO$_2$ and \Omega_{\text{arag}}.

**Experimental Design**

Species selection: *Amphiprion clarkii* and *Amphiprion frenatus*

The marine ornamental fish trade is significant in the US. In 2005, it is estimated that over 1,800 species of fish were imported (Rhyne et al., 2012). Of imports, the taxonomic family Pomacentridae, which includes the genus *Amphiprion*, is responsible for over half of the number of imported individual fish. *Amphiprion percula* ranks number five among the top imported species of fish. Of importance are also close relatives *A. clarkii* and *A. frenatus*, the latter representing the 18th most commonly imported marine ornamental species (Rhyne et al., 2012). Since the orange clownfish, *Amphiprion percula*, has been the subject of many studies in the past few years (Munday et al., 2011; Munday et al., 2009; Munday et al., 2010; Dixson et al., 2010; Nilsson et al., 2012), Clark's clownfish, *Amphiprion clarkii*, and the tomato clownfish, *Amphiprion frenatus*, were selected to study intragenus responses. Both species of fish exhibit a pelagic larval stage followed by a demersal juvenile stage. The nature of the pelagic larval stage causes these fishes to be particularly vulnerable to predation and environmental change. As such, the correct mineralization of the otolith and thereby function of the otoconia, is paramount to the continued success of reef fishes.
Studying these closely related species provides the first data regarding intraspecies impacts of OA in teleosts. As increased demand of wild capture fish increases, understanding the impacts of ocean acidification to natural reef systems may help inform more responsible harvesting of wild fish populations and assist in sustainable management of this important fishery.

**Otolith Mineralogy**

*Background*

The mineralogy of the otolith has been studied [e.g. (Dauphin and Dufour, 2008; Gauldie, 1999)], though much remains unknown. 98% of the otolith is comprised of calcium carbonate (Campana et al., 1997), with the remainder organic constituents. Three polymorphs comprise the most commonly found forms within the otolith: calcite, aragonite, and vaterite. The high solubility polymorph, high magnesium calcite, has not been reported. Sagittal otoliths have been found to be mostly aragonitic with some vaterite character (Campana and Thorrold, 2001; Dauphin and Dufour, 2008; Falini et al., 2005). Polymorph selection has an effect on total otolith density since each have a different packing pattern. Calculated polymorph densities are as follows: calcite (2.71 g/cm$^3$), aragonite (2.93 g/cm$^3$), and vaterite (2.66 g/cm$^3$). Important features distinguishing calcite from other polymorphs include the existence of a three-fold c-axis and close packed molecular structure. To obtain the perfect faces required for the classification of a three-fold c-axis, the unit cell is comparatively large (17.06 Å) whereas
the unit cell c-axis for aragonite (5.47 Å) and vaterite (8.47 Å) are comparatively short (Fig. 7).

![Crystal structure of calcite (a), aragonite (c), and vaterite (e) with labeled unit cell length (Berman, 2008).](image)

Figure 7. Crystal structure of calcite (a), aragonite (c), and vaterite (e) with labeled unit cell length (Berman, 2008).

Interstitial mineral spaces allow for elemental incorporation including ions of larger ionic radius. The increased distance between carbonate and calcium coordination, allow for ions such as Sr$^{2+}$ and Ba$^{2+}$ to replace Ca$^{2+}$, and ions such as Pb$^{2+}$ to be incorporated in the interstitial crystal spaces with minimal distortion from polyhedral rearrangement (Melancon et al., 2005; Casanova et al., 2004). Vaterite coordination also allows incorporation of large ionic radius ions as well (Berman, 2008). Although aragonite has the largest calculated interstitial space of 2.53 Å and vaterite is calculated to have 2.46 Å, Melancon et al. (2005) found that otoliths with both crystal polymorphs fractionated trace metals. In the presence of both polymorphs, larger cations, such as Sr$^{2+}$ (1.32 Å), Ba$^{2+}$ (1.49 Å), and Rb$^{2+}$ (1.66 Å) were preferentially deposited within the aragonite fractions (Melancon et al., 2005). Polymorphism within the otolith itself, therefore, may have a distinct role in the passive capture of trace elements from the endolymph. Life history analyses of otoliths have relied on incorporation of such
elements as indicative of environmental and biological variables. Understanding controlling factors of polymorphism, therefore, is critical to our understanding of coincident variables tied to life history analyses.

Polymorphism within the otoliths may also have a functional role. Since the otolith itself acts as a bouy-like structure, density and shape have a profound effect on the ability of the individual to sense motion and gravity (Anken et al., 1998; Beier, 1998; Hardison et al., 2005; Hilbig et al., 2002).

Additionally, crystal habit of previously precipitated minerals may change over time. Many studies show the rapid transformation of inorganically precipitated vaterite to calcite under changing experimental conditions (Andreassen and Hounslow, 2004; Nehrke and Van Cappellen, 2006; Spanos and Koutsoukos, 1998). This has also been shown in transitions from aragonite to calcite in laboratory precipitates (Nan et al., 2008a) in corals (Yoshioka et al., 1986), as well as calcite to aragonite in laboratory precipitates (Nan et al., 2008b). No studies are found in the literature addressing polymorph and subsequent crystal habit change in the otolith.

The mechanisms by which the otolith is constructed is still unknown. Though it has been shown that normal otolith mineralization requires specific proteins (Murayama et al., 2005; Takagi et al., 2005), the function of these proteins remains theoretical. In addition to evidence, both microscopic and biological, studies have shown that the proteins found within the otolith will induce specific polymorph deposition in vitro. Zhuo et al. (2010, accepted) show that proteins extracted from sagittal and lapillar otoliths induced the formation of aragonitic and vateritic minerals, respectively. It is currently
believed that the otolith proteins produce a web-like matrix on which calcium carbonate minerals form. Give the evidence shown in Dauphin and Dufour (2008), it may be possible that small mineral packets are being chaperoned to their host site. The complex nanostructures exposed through atomic force microscopy imaging show protein deposition to be ubiquitous. AFM imaging (Fig. 8a, b) of cod otoliths suggests an organic rich “envelope” surrounding the calcium carbonate mineral units (Dauphin and Dufour, 2008).

Figure 8. AFM imagery showing (a) phase image, (b) amplitude image indicating organic rich components (white arrows), and (h) crystal habit features (figure from Dauphin and Dufour, 2008).

Additionally, the habit of the deposited crystals within the otolith can be observed under optical light microscopy, SEM, or AFM imagery (Fig. 8h). The long needle-like projections may be considered acicular, though other habits exist for aragonite, including pseudo-hexagonal twinning. Aragonite is a metastable mineral in high pressure, low temperature conditions, such as ocean sediments. Deposited crystals are spontaneously replaced with calcite, the thermodynamically favorable phase, at surface ocean conditions.
Preliminary data gathered on adult *Ictalurus punctatus*, or the channel catfish, otoliths show dramatic changes in polymorph selection (Fig. 9). Two different crystal habits of aragonite can be seen: columnar habit (Fig. 9C) and distinct plate-like habit (Fig. 9B). Additionally, images taken in actively growing sites show similar “globules” (Fig. 9D) as found in Aloisi et al. (2006) (Fig. 10).

Figure 9. Preliminary SEM results from two year old channel catfish otoliths. Each image was collected at different magnifications (green bar in each image noted with length).
Calcium carbonate was found to precipitate on extracellular globules secreted by *D. lacustre* (Fig. 10). These bacteria induce calcium carbonate formation when appropriate media is applied. Similarly, proteins found within the endolymph may induce precipitation as seen here in channel catfish.

![TEM images of organic rich globules secreted by bacterium *D. lacustre*](image)  

Figure 10. TEM images of organic rich globules secreted by bacterium *D. lacustre* (figure from Aloisi et al., 2006).

These preliminary results show a clear indication of polymorph transformation. The results presented in this dissertation provide opportunity for further analysis of active crystallization. In addition, core crystal habit is very important to the function of the otoconic organ. As the otolith mineralizes, transforming amorphous grains must form structure that compliments the hair cell maculae on which the otolith lies. As such, development of the core is paramount to the persistence of fishes.
Significance of Study

This research is essential to the understanding of ocean acidification on calcium carbonate biomineralization in marine teleosts. Though there are many reports of impacts on exoskeletal calcifiers [e.g. (Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008; Riebesell et al., 2000; Ries et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2010), et al.], few reports focus on biocalcification in fish (Checkley et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2009). Additionally, the otolith chemistry (Bath et al., 2000; Coghlan et al., 2007; Gillanders, 2005; Kalish, 1993; Melancon et al., 2005; Payan et al., 1997; Campana et al., 1997; Thresher, 1999), and mineralogy/morphology (Carlström, 1963; Dauphin and Dufour, 2008; Falini et al., 2005; Gauldie, 1999; Hüssy, 2008; Melancon et al., 2005; Morales-Nin, 2000; Ponton, 2006) are well studied. While Checkley et al. (2009) shows a connection between pCO$_2$ and otolith growth, mineralogy and behavioral studies are lacking, exposing a hole in our understanding of the system. Beier (1998), however, shows a link between otolith asymmetry and quantifiable behavior. This research sought to increase our understanding of the otolith and its response to climate change in terms of both ocean acidification and warming of surface ocean waters and can be extrapolated to population level effects.
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CHAPTER 2

COMPARISON OF TWO PH-STAT CARBON DIOXIDE DOSING SYSTEMS FOR OCEAN ACIDIFICATION EXPERIMENTS

(This Chapter was published in Limnology and Oceanography Methods and is reformatted for this dissertation. Original Citation: Wilcox-Freeburg, Eric, et al. "A comparison of two pH-stat carbon dioxide dosing systems for ocean acidification experiments." Limnol. Oceanogr: Methods 11 (2013): 485-494.)

Abstract

As the oceans acidify due to increasing atmospheric CO$_2$, there is a growing need to understand the impact of this process on marine organisms. Field observations are difficult because of multiple covarying factors (e.g., temperature, salinity). As such, there is interest in conducting controlled, laboratory experiments in order to best understand how changes in acidity will affect marine organisms. We tested two intermittent CO$_2$ dosing systems, a “home aquarium hobby” grade pH controller and an industrial process control platform. We assessed stability, accuracy, and precision over 7-day experimental periods as well as relative cost of the two configurations. We also compared three laboratory grade pH electrodes to the hobbyist electrode to further evaluate electrode
quality on system-controlled pH stability and drift. While the industrial system offered some benefit with regard to autonomy, our results show that the low-cost hobbyist system can be modified appropriately to provide comparable pH control. We provide a detailed list of procedures and software developed for the implementation of a cost-effective, precision controlled CO\textsubscript{2} dosing system to support laboratory-based ocean acidification experiments.

**Introduction**

The pH of the ocean is declining in response to increased concentrations of atmospheric carbon dioxide, [CO\textsubscript{2}]. This process, known as ocean acidification, consequently changes carbonate system equilibria resulting in greatly declining carbonate ion availability. Calcium carbonate mineralization is thermodynamically limited by carbonate ion activity (\(\alpha\text{CO}_{3}^{2-}\)). Therefore, ocean acidification is expected to severely limit growth of calcified structures. Organismal response (by calcifiers and non-calcifiers) to ocean acidification is the subject of many recent studies (Widdicombe and Needham, 2007; Checkley et al., 2009; Ries et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2009; Cummings et al., 2011; Kroeker et al., 2011; Munday et al., 2011; Doropoulos et al., 2012; Ferrari et al., 2012; and references therein). The results of these studies demonstrate that the impact of ocean acidification on marine life is not restricted to calcified structures. Other physiological responses range from changes in growth rate and morphology to changes in gene expression. While there remains a vast knowledge gap with respect to impacts of ocean acidification on marine biota, entry into this area of research may be hampered due
to prohibitive cost and lengthy method development required to precisely control aquaria pH in the laboratory.

It is possible to control seawater pH in the laboratory through a variety of means. For example, synthetic seawater can be produced and tailored to desired dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total alkalinity (A$_T$), and pH levels. These parameters can be maintained by minimizing gas exchange and evaporation (Gattuso et al., 1998). The pH of natural waters can be adjusted with mineral acids and bases to simulate historic and modeled pH (Riebesell et al., 2000; Spero et al., 1997). This approach, however, is generally avoided because of its impact on alkalinity and total organic carbon (Dickson et al., 2007). More recently, adjustments of pH using continuous dosing of natural waters with premixed specialty CO$_2$ enriched air mixtures (CO$_2$ + air) enable a broad array of laboratory experiments. Indeed this approach is used successfully in conjunction with moderate- to large-scale recirculating flow-through seawater systems (Checkley et al., 2009; Ries et al., 2009) as well as static exposures (Iglesias-Rodriguez et al., 2008). This approach is simple and should, in theory, reduce variability in set pH values over an extended period of time. While this method allows for little deviation in tank pH, specialty mixed gases can be costly. Mass flow controllers may be used to mix incoming air to a desired pCO$_2$ (de Putron et al., 2011; Edmunds et al., 2012). While this method assures a constant pCO$_2$ value entering the aquaria, equilibrium pH within the aquaria may shift depending on biological activity and/or passive gas exchange. An alternative gas dosing approach uses intermittent dosing with pure CO$_2$ gas along with continuous aeration of tanks with ambient air. This approach can be used to control pH in individual
aquaria, as static or static renewal exposures (Gazeau et al., 2007; Waldbusser et al., 2011), or with header tanks that provide flow-through to one or more individual aquaria (Berge et al., 2006; Munday et al., 2009; Munday et al., 2011).

We assessed two approaches to intermittent CO$_2$ dosing of static aquaria. Specifically, we evaluated two of the many available dosing systems with respect to stability, accuracy, precision, dependability and cost, as well as pH electrode performance, and describe their deployment in detail. We chose to compare an industrial system manufactured by Omega Engineering (Stamford, CT) and a hobbyist system manufactured by Digital Aquatics (Woodinville, WA.). Both systems monitor the pH of aquaria using a pH probe and have a controller for which a specific target pH value can be set. In both systems, continuous aeration of the aquaria using ambient air leads to outgassing of CO$_2$, causing an increase in pH. Once the upper pH threshold is met, the controller opens a gas solenoid valve, allowing CO$_2$ gas from a pressurized cylinder to be bubbled into the aquarium water, thereby lowering pH.

**Materials and Procedures**

*Omega Engineering (OE) system configuration*

The Omega Engineering single setpoint proportional pH controller (PHCN-901) is an industrial process management platform that has a splash and corrosive resistant enclosure. This unit has a standard connection for pH electrodes and allows for a broad performance range of electrodes. Additionally, the unit sends data to an external logger over analog amperage output. The controller houses all functions required for control of
one aquarium, and therefore is replicated for each additional tank. pH calibration is done prior to sealing the housing, using a two-point calibration method. Calibration dials (analog potentiometers) consist of an “offset” and “slope” set. Any set of pH calibration standards may be used, allowing the system to use either National Bureau of Standards (NBS) standard pH scale buffers (pH_{NBS}) or synthetic seawater pH buffer solutions (pH_T) (Byrne, 1987; Millero et al., 1993a; Millero et al., 1993b).

In experimental aquaria (Figure 1), pH is maintained at the given setpoint by CO_2 dosing when the pH of the aquaria drifts above the setpoint value by approximately 0.01 - 0.02 pH units. A dosing schedule circuit within the controller allows optimization of system hysteresis and offers the ability to dose intermittently via a gas solenoid valve (Omega SV3204) that is plumbed to a CO_2 cylinder. Multiple controllers can feed into the same data logger. We used an Omega four channel 25 mA current data logger (omega.com; OM-CP-QUADPROCESS-25MA). Data collection and storage times depend on the choice of polling rate. In our study, we used a 5 min polling rate allowing for collection of 4 channels of data for more than 2 weeks. We downloaded data every 3 – 4 days corresponding to the schedule of water changes for the aquaria. Multiple gas solenoid valves can be plumbed to the CO_2 cylinder using standard gas connections. A step down regulator (swagelok.com, KPR1DFC412A20000), attached as a ‘third stage’ to a standard two-stage laboratory CO_2 regulator, was set to 2-7 psi in order to lower flow rates delivered to the aquaria.
Fig. 1. Top: Digital Aquatics, Inc. (DA) ReefKeeper Elite (RKE) setup, showing required duplication. Bottom: Omega Engineering (OE) setup, showing required duplication. Note that the OE system log is generated at each head unit box, but the temperature data is not logged as is possible with the DA system. OE logger (a), as shown, is a 4-port unit, and therefore a system must be deployed for every four tanks. Components are color coded as follows: red - controller, blue - gas dosing, orange - logger.
The output line from the gas solenoid was connected via an in-line check valve to a standard aquarium airstone placed directly below the continuously flowing ambient air airstone at the bottom of the aquarium. This tiered airstone setup promotes water circulation in the aquarium and assists in efficient gas diffusion. We used commercially-available software (omega.com, OM-CP-IFC200) specific for the data logger to manually control start and stop of data logging. We found that connecting a laptop computer via a USB port to the four-channel Omega data logger resulted in a 0.90 mA voltage drop in the recorded signal. Hence, we connected the computer to the system for short periods of time and stopped data logging until the data could be downloaded. The computer was not connected to the data logger during electrode calibration or long-term monitoring of aquaria pH. During electrode calibration we found that the data polling rate had to be changed to allow sufficient time for the data logger to record mA output during each calibration step to externally verify pH calibration. The data polling rate was set to two second intervals during pH calibration. Afterwards, we reset the polling rate to 5 min intervals for long-term pH monitoring. We regressed calibration data logs offline to convert mA output to pH. We optimized hysteresis compensation using the two analog potentiometers (“Proportional Settings”). Adjusting these settings changes the total on/off time per dose as well as frequency of the cycle. Manual adjustment of CO₂ dose can be done, as well, by changing the gas pressure to the solenoids using the ‘third stage’ gas regulator as mentioned above.
Digital Aquatics (DA) system configuration

The Digital Aquatics (DA) ReefKeeper Elite controller (RKE) is an aquarium hobbyist system (Table 1). The RKE platform allows control/monitoring of several water quality variables depending on the choice of an appropriate module from a host of add-on modules. Indeed, this controller is most often used in a hobbyist reef tank system and is capable of automating most reef tank functions (pH, temperature, oxidation reduction potential, and salinity). Specifically, lab modules (SL1 or SL2) monitor pH over a BNC connection, allowing flexibility in pH electrode selection. In addition to pH electrodes, temperature, ORP, and salinity probes are available and can be attached to the lab units. The DA system is highly modular, as one controller unit (RKE) can address 255 peripheral units.

Table 1. Qualitative assessments of comparative strengths and weaknesses. Stability scores reflect system time between pH electrode calibrations. User customizable scores are determined by optional modules available for end user. Memory capacity scores reflect time between logger downloads. Memory capacity of the DA system is rated highly when using the RSS feed fetching script since it is only limited by computer hard disk space. User friendly scores are reflective of ease of deployment with provided product manuals. Cost scores are reflective of cost/aquarium deployment at larger scales. Overall performance scores represent the ability of the system to perform ocean acidification experimentation adequately.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OE</th>
<th>DA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Out-of-the-box</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Customizable</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Capacity</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>User Friendly</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Performance</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Similar to the OE controller, calibration of pH uses a two point calibration where the user is able to digitally set each calibration target. This allows for the use of synthetic seawater buffers (Dickson et al., 2007) for enhanced pH accuracy. pH is controlled by programming a "function" for each individual power outlet of a 4-port power module (PC4) by comparison to the measured pH of the SL1 or SL2 lab module. Assigning a simple “function” allows the user to select power on/off criteria for the 120V AC relay wired to a 120V AC gas solenoid valve. pH is controlled through direct injection of CO$_2$ from a compressed gas tank into the experimental aquaria.

In our configuration, each system lab unit included an external flasher relay (i.e. grainger.com; cat# 5JJ48) fed into a 120V, normally closed, gas solenoid valve (alliedelect.com; cat# VX2330-02T-3CR1). This allowed for the opening/closing of the gas solenoid. The flasher relay was set to a rate of 0.25 s on, 3 s off. The voltage drop associated with turning on the solenoid valve produced a power surge that resulted, at times, in a software restart and accompanying loss of pH control. To remedy this problem, single outlet surge suppressors (amazon.com; cat# B00006B81E, B000EWVSZK) were wired between the external flasher relay and the outlet in the PC4 strip serving each aquarium. CO$_2$ gas routing was identical to the OE system. A web interface unit (NET) delivered data via an ethernet connection to attached computer. Data was logged externally over RSS feeds provided by the NET interface at 1s intervals. Data was backed up to external and cloud storage for off-site verification at 1 hr intervals. The Perl and batch scripts we used are available in the supplemental materials.
Assessment

System Stability Tests

We completed a 7-day pH stability test on each system using comparable pH electrodes (OE: Ross Combination pH electrode, Thermo Scientific, cat# 8256BN; DA: Orion PerpHecT combination pH electrode, Thermo Scientific, cat# 13-642-559). During this test, we set the pH controller systems at specific pH levels and held the pH for the duration of the experiment. For the OE system stability experiment we used filtered (1.0µm) and UV sterilized seawater from Savin Hill Cove, Boston, MA. We set the OE controllers to a 1 control and 3 experimental pH values (pH\textsubscript{T} = 8.0, 7.7, and 7.4). We downloaded data logs weekly. Due to the cost of OE controllers, we did not replicate aquaria (Figure 2). For the DA experiments, we used filtered (1.0 µm) and UV sterilized seawater collected from Mt. Hope Bay, RI. Due to the lower cost of the DA units we replicated the aquaria (n=4). DA systems were set to control pH as in the OE experiment. RSS feed provided for continuous data downloading (Figure 3).

For stability comparisons we compared pH data collected every 5 minutes across 5 days by both systems. Using the value of observed pH – expected pH we compared the data such that positive values indicate the system is reading higher than expected and negative values correspond to lower than expected. To assess the variability in reading, we calculated the standard deviation for each replicate. The DA replicates were tested for statistical similarity with a one-way ANOVA, and the standard deviation value for each OE replicate was compared to the 95% CI for the corresponding DA pH treatment group. There was no significant difference in the standard deviation between DA replicate
aquaria at the different pH treatments ($F_{2,9} = 3.13, p > 0.05$). The standard deviations of the OE treatments were within the 95% CI for the DA treatments (Figure 4)

Fig. 2. OE pH controller stability experiment time series using Thermo Scientific Ross combination pH electrodes. pH$_T$ setpoints (mean ± SD) are shown.

Fig. 3. DA pH controller stability experiment time series using laboratory Ag/Ag-Cl refillable electrodes. pH$_T$ setpoints (mean ± SD) are shown. Each setpoint consists of four experimental aquariums represented by contrasting colors.
pH electrode stability tests

Since our goal was to identify cost-effective alternatives to support ocean acidification experiments, we assessed the stability of a range of pH electrodes with the DA controller. For electrode testing, we used filtered (1.0 µm) and UV treated seawater from Mt. Hope Bay, RI. We buffered total alkalinity ($A_T$) to 2500 µmol/kg using sodium carbonate to minimize pH change over the study period. We verified $A_T$ following standard methods (Dickson, 1981). We added the buffered seawater to 40 L glass aquaria and aerated the aquaria with house compressed air. Twice daily we verified controller pH using an independent hand-held pH meter (Hach H170G). We calibrated both the DA system and the Hach meter on the total hydrogen concentration scale ($pH_T$) using colorimetrically calibrated synthetic seawater buffers (Byrne, 1987). In the case of the Hach handheld meter, which collects mV readings, we calculated pH offline due to software restrictions. We measured $pH_T$ directly twice a day over each 7-day experiment.

![Fig. 4. Performance comparison between DA and OE systems for 5-day stability experiments. Error bars represent DA 95% CI (n = 4). OE standard deviation means do not fall within the DA 95% CI for treatment groups pH = 7.4 and 7.7 while the SD mean for OE pH=8.0 treatment group falls within the corresponding DA 95% CI. Precision of control appears to be comparable, therefore for pH = 8.0 treatment group only.](image)
We tested pH electrodes available from Digital Aquatics (DA), Inc. (sealed, non-refillable epoxy-body electrodes). We also compared calibration drift of the DA electrodes to laboratory-grade Ag/Ag-Cl combination pH electrodes (Fisher Scientific, Cat#: 13-642-559; VWR International, Cat#: 14002-780). Since the refillable electrodes from VWR exhibited rapid reference solution evaporation, we tested an additional set of VWR pH electrodes fitted with a cotton pad covering the electrode vent (VWR-plug). We tested each electrode (DA, Fisher, VWR, VWR-plug) on two separate metering devices (DA digital readout and Hach H107G handheld meter). This allowed for comparison between replicate tanks with the same meter, between different meters, and between electrode manufacturer (e.g. VWR, VWR-plug). DA electrodes had a larger mV reading range (10.4 mV) than did the laboratory grade electrodes (Fisher = 6.6 mV, VWR = 6.3 mV, VWR-plug = 3.7 mV) (Figure 5). Since repeatability in replicate electrode response indicated comparable precision, a test for Equal Variances showed not all electrodes exhibited comparable variance (Figure 6) (Levene’s Test, $p < 0.001$, $W = 52.40$, $\alpha = 0.05$, $N = 12$, $k = 4$).
Fig 5. Raw mV electrode potential output from pH electrodes as measured by Hach H107G with standard deviation across individual electrodes of same type. The mean of three aquaria setups per electrode type (mV) is represented by a horizontal line. Three replicate tanks for each probe as measured are represented with respect to time (mean ± SD): Fisher (-85.6 ± 1.8); DA (-86.3 ± 4.1); VWR (-78.3 ± 1.8); VWR-plug (-80.4 ± 1.5).

Figure 6. Electrode response comparisons (standard deviation of electrode response, N = 12) with 95% confidence interval bars. DA electrodes exhibited significantly higher variance in electrode response (Levene’s Test, W = 52.40, p < 0.001, α = 0.05, N = 12, k = 4).
Once calibrated, the relationship between pH readout and electrode potential should remain constant. However, normalizing the SL1 pH readings to mV readout indicated a pH/mV ratio shift independent of calibration procedures on all units (Figure 7). When comparing SL2 unit performance under identical data collection conditions, calibration drift was more pronounced than that of SL1 units. Since we accounted for electrode drift in the data normalization procedure, we suggest that differences in slope were likely caused by the electronics used in the lab unit. Linear regression of normalized values indicated that the calibration of the SL2 drifts at a significantly faster rate than that of the SL1 unit (ANOVA, $F_{1,24} = 36.34$, $p < 0.001$) (Figure 7).

![Figure 7. Normalized response (pH/mV) highlighting DA SL2 ($R^2 = 0.947$) vs. SL1 ($R^2 = 0.627$) electrode calibration drift. Note that the slope of each line indicates SL2 units exhibit calibration drift 2.8x the rate of the SL1 units using same pH electrode (Fisher).](image)
**Cost analysis**

Digital Aquatics, Inc. (DA) ReefKeeper Elite-based systems offered a cost-effective alternative to industrial controllers such as those offered by Omega Engineering (OE). The cost for a single tank at the time of this study was $1057 for the DA system, and $1180 for the Omega system. However, replication favored the DA system as each additional tank was $408 for the DA system but $906 for the Omega system.

**Discussion**

Over the period of the experimental trial, we scored each system for a handful of qualitative parameters (Table 1). The low gas flow rate required for ocean acidification experiments to rear sensitive marine larvae makes control of aquaria pH very difficult. The dosing and sensor equipment can be costly, and thus we compared two systems. Each system offered unique advantages and disadvantages. While precision of the pH control by the “out of the box” DA hobbyist system was less than that of the OE industrial system, we found that the addition of external components to the DA system, such as flasher relays and individual surge protectors, yielded precision control on par with the more costly OE system. This, along with the cost savings, suggests the DA system is a viable option for ocean acidification studies. The biggest strength of the OE system over the DA system was its variable dosing schedule. The OE system was able to pulse-dose the aquaria with variable on and off times through the proportional control knob-driven potentiometers. Without external control, the DA systems dosed continuously until the aquarium pH dipped below the target pH set point, resulting in excessive system pH lag and subsequent hysteresis. By adding variable timer relays
between the power outlet and solenoid valve to the DA system, OE pulse dosing could be mimicked by the DA system.

Power interruption impacted the DA system. Preliminary DA data logs contained gaps ranging from 30 minutes to several hours which were likely a result of power surges and/or power loss. Locally stored data logs appeared to be corrupted during such events. Upon power loss, SL2 units took up to 15 minutes to read correct pH, whereas the SL1 units recovered nearly immediately. We ruled out slow electrode response as the issue since we tested electrodes using an external handheld meter (Hach H107G). These tests showed equilibration of signal readings within 5-10 seconds. To remedy data corruption and electrode stability problems, we placed the systems on uninterruptable power supplies with battery backup and line conditioning and connected to power lines with emergency generator power. We enabled the NET unit RSS feed feature and collected data at 1s intervals using a Perl script. Using this approach we recorded three months of uninterrupted data, including data logs recorded during brownouts, blackouts, and line surges associated with Hurricane Sandy.

Calibration of electrodes on the DA system revealed some issues with the SL2 unit. Calibration of all electrode on SL2 units was slow and cumbersome due to the slow response of the electronics (20 minutes/tank). We could, conversely, complete calibration on the SL1 units in a time frame comparable to the OE system (< 4 - 5 min per controller). We evaluated electrode equilibration using a Hach pH meter and found equilibration time to be within expected limits (5-10 s). This indicated that response time was due to the SL2 electronics not the pH electrodes, themselves. Stability results show
that while the SL2 systems maintained setpoint pH, calibration needs to be monitored and updated daily (Figure 7). When using SL1 units, we increased the calibration cycle to three days of operational accuracy (a set point of 0.02 pH units). In contrast, the OE system did not require pH calibration adjustments over 7 – 10 day periods.

Importantly, DA system calibration must be run manually on each tank, one tank at a time. This is in contrast to the OE system with its dedicated controllers for each tank. The OE setup allowed for simultaneous calibration of multiple tanks and therefore significantly reduced system downtime during calibration.

**Comments and recommendations**

Systems provided an exceptionally stable platform for relatively automated experiments with minimal modification. With the modifications presented here, we found the DA system provided comparable precision and accuracy of control. Additionally, the modular nature of the DA system allows for a great amount of user customizability whereas the OE system allows for a set number and type of data to be collected.

In designing systems, power backup and data logging must be addressed, and the approach will depend on the specifics of the laboratory location. While OE controllers offer more independent control with high "out-of-the-box" stability, the relative expense of such industrial controllers may prohibit purchase. Researchers will have to balance the relative costs and benefits of the OE and DA systems to determine the best fit for their research needs. The DA system, through modification and regular monitoring of performance, offers a cost-effective alternative to expensive pH controller infrastructure, and can, as shown here, produce results comparable to the OE system.
The cost to set up the first tank, using either system, is nearly identical. However, OE systems become more expensive, averaging almost double the cost per tank when replicating over eight systems. Since true replication to assess pH/pCO₂ effects requires individually controlled tanks, cost of the experimental system heavily favors the DA controller. Although the statistical results show significant differences in tank pH control, the observed differences are outside of the precision range of the pH metering system. DA SL1 performance, including calibration drift, is better than that of the SL2 units. Based on our results we recommend using the SL1 units when employing the DA system.

Appropriate selection of pH electrode is important since it determines pH measurement precision. We found no statistically significant difference in accuracy on the OE or DA systems using laboratory grade Ag/Ag-Cl combination pH electrodes. However, the DA hobbyist electrode was not as precise as the laboratory grade electrodes. Therefore, we suggest that laboratory grade electrodes be used, although it is unnecessary to use electrodes with higher precision than ±0.01 pH units.

In DA system experiments, we encountered calibration drift that required recalibration every three days. For this reason, if using a DA system, we suggest that pH be confirmed with an externally calibrated meter daily to monitor for drift. Since refillable electrodes suffer from solution evaporation over time, the observed drift in these electrodes on the DA system could be exacerbated by particularly warm or dry conditions and so users should develop a drift monitoring protocol for their laboratory conditions. For our purposes, a pH calibration divergence of 0.02 units prompted a recalibration procedure. We found it necessary to recalibrate the electrodes every five
days on average. In our study, we also added precautionary protocols to replace all pH buffers after being used for system calibration due to the heavy use required (12 aquaria). We observed marked improvement in calibration accuracy following this protocol change and suggest this as an ongoing adaptation, regardless of pH/CO$_2$ control platform.

We developed a scalable, cost-effective and modular system that accurately and precisely controls pH to allow for ocean acidification experiments in the case of the DA system. Modifications are also available to support combined parameter experiments due to the modular base system. This system will open up new avenues of investigation into one of the most significant threats to marine organisms, allowing more researchers to enter into this important area of study.
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CHAPTER 3
OCEAN ACIDIFICATION INDUCES MORPHOLOGICAL CHANGES IN LARVAL REEF FISH OTOLITHS

Introduction

Atmospheric CO$_2$ is causing dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) equilibrium changes resulting in a pH decline in the global ocean. This process of ocean acidification (OA), is a of concern for marine calcifiers (Feely et al., 2004). These calcifiers require a favorable environment in which to mineralize carbonate-based structures. Such an environment is commonly considered correlated to high aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$, Eq. 1).

\[
\Omega_{\text{Ar}} = \frac{aCa^{2+} \times aCO_3^{2-}}{K_{sp}} \tag{Eq. 1}
\]

This state is dependent on the solubility constant, $K_{sp}$, which is mainly controlled by temperature and pressure. Calcium ion activity, $aCa^{2+}$, is regulated by large scale weathering processes and its residence time, 1.1 million years (Broecker et al., 1982), is long enough to consider $aCa^{2+}$ constant over times scales relevant to OA processes. For these reasons, it is expected that saturation state is proportional to the activity of carbonate, $aCO_3^{2-}$ (Eq. 2). The carbonate anion activity is controlled be the exchange of atmospheric CO$_2(g)$ into water as CO$_2(\text{aq})$ which then reacts with water to form carbonic
acid (H$_2$CO$_3$) which dissociates to bicarbonate anion (HCO$_3^-$) and then to carbonate anion (Eq. 3). Ultimately the activity of CO$_3^{2-}$ is directly related to its concentration ($\gamma$CO$_3^{2-} \times$ [CO$_3^{2-}$] = aCO$_3^{2-}$) which is, in turn, related to the partial pressure of atmospheric CO$_2$ (pCO$_2$). The equilibrium of the carbonate system shifts with increasing pCO$_2$ leading to increased CO$_2$(aq) and HCO$_3^-$ and decreases in CO$_3^{2-}$ ultimately leading to decreased $\Omega_{Arag}$. Commensurate with the decline in CO$_3^{2-}$ is an increase in hydrogen ion content (H$^+$; pH = -log(aH$^+$)) leading to acidification of the ocean. Both the acidification by hydrogen ion and the decreasing concentrations of carbonate anion as well as decreased saturation state of aragonite impact marine calcifiers in a number of complex ways (Frommel et al., 2010; Fabry et al., 2008; Riebesell et al., 2000; Munday et al., 2009; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Walther et al., 2002).

\[ \Omega_{Arag} \propto aCO_3^{2-} \quad \text{Eq. 2} \]

\[ CO_2_{(atm)} \leftrightarrow CO_2(aq) + H_2O \leftrightarrow H_2CO_3 \leftrightarrow H^+ + HCO_3^- \leftrightarrow 2H^+ + CO_3^{2-} \quad \text{Eq. 3} \]

Experimental studies describe a range of calcification responses among a variety of species as a result of declining $\Omega_{Arag}$ (Checkley et al., 2009; Fabry et al., 2008; Kroeker et al., 2011; Ries et al., 2009; Widdicombe & Needham, 2007). Net calcification is, in external calcifiers such as bivalves, negatively impacted by increased pCO$_2$ (Ries et al., 2009, (Comeau et al., 2014; Cummings et al., 2011). This is expected given carbonate equilibrium thermodynamics (Eq. 1). However, this relation does not
necessarily hold for internal calcifiers such as teleost fish (Bignami et al., 2013; Checkley et al., 2009; Munday et al., 2011, (Wilcox-Freeburg et al., 2013)).

Teleost fish have 2 pairs (left and right inner ear) of 3 otoliths (aragonite; CaCO₃). The otoliths form the vestibular system of the fish and provide for both hearing and gravisensing (Riley and Moorman, 2000; Fekete, 2003; Beier et al., 2002). The system responds to changes in body orientation by movement of the otolith within the endolymphatic fluid of the inner ear and the interaction of the otoliths with hair cell maculae (Lychakov et al., 2006; Cruz et al., 2009; Hardison et al., 2005). For this reason, otolith morphology (e.g., asymmetry) is very important to the function of the vestibular system (Lychakov & Rebane, 2005) as the contact between the otolith surface and maculae provide the sensory information needed for correct spatial orientation of the body. Indeed, removal of otoliths is linked to loss of navigation capacity (B. B. Riley & Moorman, 2000). Incorrect formation of otoliths is also associated with behavioral abnormalities associated with vestibular malfunction (Hardison, Lichten, Banerjee-Basu, Becker, & Burgess, 2005). Since the otolith is a predominantly aragonite (98%; (Campana and Thorrold, 2001)) it is expected that mineralization will be affected by changes in ocean carbonate chemistry [i.e., (Ries et al., 2009)]. Given the potential negative impacts on otolith morphology induced by increased atmospheric CO₂ and associated changes in activity of carbonate anion and ocean pH (here forward referred to as ocean acidification, OA), our study focused on a comprehensive assessment of otolith morphology of larval reef fish under ocean acidification conditions. It is the first such
study to examine impacts on all three otoliths and extends the results to explore potential impacts on the vestibular system.

Recent otolith studies show mixed responses to OA. These responses range from dramatic changes in overall area (Checkley et al., 2009) and density (Bignami et al., 2013), to little change in morphology (Munday et al., 2011). Otolith morphology is strongly related to function (Fekete, 2003; Lychakov, Rebane, Lombarte, Fuiman, & Takabayashi, 2006). Changes in otolith morphology may lead, ultimately, to behavioral changes. Unfortunately, there is no way to compare otolith morphology changes across published studies as they lack common morphological metrics. Moreover, the methods for pCO$_2$ dosing of experimental aquaria differ among these studies. Species and life history differences also make comparison across studies difficult. There is ample evidence that otolith morphology is genera and, sometimes, species-specific [e.g., (Lombarte & Castellón, 1991; Tuset, Rosin, & Lombarte, 2006)] and so it is inappropriate, if only for this reason, to interpret the results of previous studies in the context of all teleosts. By establishing a common suite of morphometrics and experimental methods, it may be possible to compare experimental results with wild caught fish data and also enable cross-study comparison and evaluation of genera and species-specific OA impacts on the otolith system.

Here we present otolith morphometric data from Clark’s clownfish (*Amphiprion clarkii*) reared under different pH conditions. We selected a species of *Amphiprion* to enable comparison of our results to those published by Munday et al. (2011). In addition to otolith morphometrics we examined impacts of OA on somatic growth. Somatic
growth is negatively impacted in aquacultured fish when population density is high resulting in higher dissolved concentrations of CO$_2$ (Pickering, 1993). High concentrations of dissolved CO$_2$ leads to blood acidosis, as well (Ishimatsu et al., 2008). The relation between the CO$_2$(aq) and pH combined with the fact that blood and endolymph should be in equilibrium (Payan et al., 1997; Payan et al., 1999; Borelli et al., 2003) further supports the expectation that OA will impact otolith growth.

**Methods**

*Experimental pCO$_2$ Manipulation*

Clownfish eggs were laid on porcelain tiles placed in the brood stock aquaria. Eggs were left in the aquaria until the day of hatch and then placed in a 200 L aquarium at ambient pH (~8.3). Eggs were gently aerated to assure sufficient oxygen diffusion without excess agitation. Upon hatch, larvae were distributed randomly into 40-L replicate experimental aquaria using sterilized 100 mL beakers while maintaining minimal agitation. Larvae were reared in pH-controlled aquaria using a pH-stat CO$_2$ dosing controller (Wilcox-Freeburg et al., 2013). We used four replicate tanks of four pH (pCO$_2$) treatments [pH$_T$ =8.16 (300), 7.80 (800), 7.60 (1400), 7.30 (3000)] with each aquarium independently held at the pH set-point (Wilcox-Freeburg et al., 2013). We provided a native diet of copepods (*Pseudodiaptomus sp.*) in a background of algae (*Isochrysis sp.*) at 20,000 cells/mL. We held prey item density constant over the study period (4:1 nauplii:adult per mL) to allow *ad libitum* feeding. The experiment ended
after 8 days coincident with the beginning of settlement coloration and behavior in the control tanks.

Dissolved Carbonate Chemistry in Experimental Aquaria

We collected water from each tank twice daily and analyzed samples, using standard methods (Dickson et al., 2007; Millero et al., 1993) immediately following collection. pH was measured on the total scale (pHT) by UV spectroscopy (Byrne, 1987). We measured salinity (S) and temperature (T) using a YSI laboratory salinity and temperature probe (YSI 3200). Measurement of total alkalinity (AT) followed standard methods for seawater alkalinity titration (Dickson and Goyet, 1994). Using the CO2SYS excel macro and the measured data, we modeled the saturation state of aragonite and other carbonate chemistry parameters (Pierrot, Lewis, & Wallace, 2006). Tank effects on carbonate chemistry were assessed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).

Fish Collection and Otolith Preparation

Fish were euthanized in tricaine methanesulfonate. We photographed individually identified fish on a 1 mm grating. Fish were then immediately rinsed and stored in 95% ethanol. We measured individual standard length (SL) using ImageJ (vers 1.47, Java Runtime Environment). Tank effects on somatic growth, measured as SL, modeled pCO2 and ΩArag were assessed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Correlations were assessed using linear regression and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) on linear regression coefficients.
We dissected fish under 10-90x magnification (Olympus SZX10) using microdissection tools. Left and right sagittae, lapilli, and asterisci were placed into a dry petri dish. We added 18 MΩ water to cover the otoliths and arranged them on the left and right of the dish in order from sagittae (top) to asterisci (bottom) (Fig. 1). We gently removed visible tissue from the otoliths but minor tissue did remain as the otoliths, particularly the lapilli and asterisci, are very small and fragile. We photographed each set of otoliths at a calibrated magnification of 90x.

![Figure 1. Arrangement of removed otoliths showing positioning of otolith pairs. All photographs taken at 90x magnification. (LS – left sagitta, LL – left lapillus, LA – left asteriscus, R – right).](image)

Otolith Morphometrics

We developed a MATLAB image item morphology algorithm to automate collection of measurement data directly from the photomicrographs. The algorithm automatically subtracted background, added the otolith sample name to the image as well as labeled left and right, and otolith type. Once labeled and digitized for processing we manually “cleaned” the image to remove any remaining tissue residue. MATLAB scripts provided morphological data (major axis, minor axis, circularity index, perimeter, and area) and integrated measurement data with sample metadata for storage in a database which could be used for comparison to experimental treatment parameters as well as comparison between sides and otolith types.

To enable inter-study comparison between this work and that of Munday et al. (2011), we compared otolith circularity using the circularity index. In sedimentary petrology studies, circularity index is a commonly acquired grain morphology metric. Circularity index is defined by the ratio of the largest fully inscribed circle area to the smallest fully circumscribed circle area (Riley, 1941). To apply this metric to otoliths, we used model ellipse parameters. MATLAB’s built in functions calculated the model ellipse which, by definition, has the same normalized second central moments as the region of interest. By calculating the major and minor axes, we developed a modified Riley circularity index. The circularity index used here is calculated as the ratio of the area of the circle generated by the minor axis to the area of the circle generated by the major axis (Eq. 3). A value of 1 indicates a circular structure. As the value approaches 0 the structure is more elliptical.
Eq. 3

\[
\text{Circularity Index} = \frac{\pi (\text{minor axis}/2)^2}{\pi (\text{major axis}/2)^2}
\]

We explored the relation between circularity index to \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) by otolith type and side of head using linear regression. Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) was used for comparison of regression coefficients between otoliths of same type, but opposite side of the head (i.e. left sagittal to right sagittal otolith). To evaluate the interaction of somatic growth (SL) and otolith growth we treated SL as a covariate. While \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) is used widely as an indicator for water chemistry-mineralization interactions, we argue a more accurate factor for somatic growth is pCO\textsubscript{2}, since this is more closely tied to blood gas content (Esbaugh et al., 2012; Cech et al., 2002).

Circularity index, here, is considered a standarizable morphometric for otolith studies. To explore the potential of this metric for interstudy comparison we normalized otolith perimeter and area to SL and regressed these values against \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \). We compared these regression results to those of SL and otolith circularity index to \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) to measure general somatic growth changes and otolith morphology changes and determine trend correlations.

Morphometric data was acquired using MATLAB image processing tools with photomicrographs imported into the software. Edge fidelity can be lost as images are imported and edge detection routines are employed (Fig 2A). We used interpolation to restore edge fidelity (Fig 2B). Due to the loss of edge fidelity otolith perimeter, which is dependent on the identification of true edges, is not an appropriate metric to compare across studies as each software package used will identify edges using slightly different
algorithms for interpolation (Figure 2C). Automated perimeter traces may incorporate jagged edges that artificially increase the perimeter to area ratios used by others [i.e. perimeter^2/area (Bignami et al., 2013; Munday et al., 2011)]. Smoothed or interpolated perimeter traces may reduce some of the overestimation of changes in morphology. In this study, we used an ellipsoid model to circumvent the need for such perimeter traces (Fig 2D). This approach means that our perimeter measures are not directly comparable to those of other published studies. Rather our perimeter measures provide for guidance in the development of a common perimeter acquisition method that will enable comparison across future studies.

The current version of the MATLAB scripts are available through Github (github.com/edfreeburg/MATLAB-Image-Analysis) and will be continuously updated and refined for the purposes of automated morphometric analysis.
Results

Total pH (pH<sub>T</sub>) represents pH measurements of waters, done twice daily (Table 1). Controller pH was within 0.01 of measured pH throughout the experiment. Salinity remained stable across all aquaria at (35.0 +/- 0.01 (n=7), Table 1). Total alkalinity was found to be within 1 standard deviation across all aquaria, A<sub>T</sub>, (Table 1). Total alkalinity remained constant as the result of charge balance (Eq. 4). CO<sub>2</sub> incorporation increases the overall carbon load in the system leading to increased dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations (Table 1). Conversely, carbonate equilibrium shifts away from CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> at high pCO<sub>2</sub>, resulting in decreased Ω<sub>Arag</sub>. These relations are reflected in the model results provided by CO2SYS (Table 1).

Mortality variance (mean(stdev)) was very high (Control - 2.20 ± 2.39, pH = 7.8 - 5.20 ± 4.38, pH = 7.6 - 2.20 ± 1.79, pH = 7.3 - 3.40 ± 2.70) with no detectable difference between treatment groups (ANOVA: F<sub>3,14</sub> = 1.14, p = 0.365, pooled standard deviation = 2.974). However, mortality was assessed during water changes when fish bodies were discovered. Final counts on each aquarium did not match mortality counts. We found no detectable difference between treatment groups and final population counts (ANOVA: F<sub>3,8</sub> = 2.26, p = 0.158).
Table 1. Aquaria carbonate chemistry showing average for triplicate replication for each treatment group (±SD, n=3) measured values (pH$_T$, S, T°C, A$_T$) and model output from CO2SYS (DIC, pCO$_2$, Ω$_{Arag}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH$_T$</th>
<th>S ppt</th>
<th>T °C</th>
<th>A$_T$ μmol kg$^{-1}$</th>
<th>DIC μmol kg$^{-1}$</th>
<th>pCO$_2$ μatm</th>
<th>Ω$_{Arag}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.16 (0.04)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.2(0.4)</td>
<td>2440(147)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>299.4</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.80 (0.01)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.2(0.4)</td>
<td>2440(152)</td>
<td>2237</td>
<td>825.5</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.60 (0.01)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.3(0.4)</td>
<td>2432(140)</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>1384.3</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.30 (0.01)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.2(0.4)</td>
<td>2418(140)</td>
<td>2415</td>
<td>2897.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tank replicate did not affect pH, as measured by an independent meter (ANOVA: control - F2,37 = 1.1, p = 0.351; pH = 7.8 - F2,36 = 0.63, p = 0.648; pH = 7.6 - F2,35 = 2.15, p = 0.095; pH = 7.3 - F2,37 = 0.82, p = 0.519) while treatment group pH was significantly different (ANOVA: F2,155 = 2458.49, p < 0.001). Tank replicate did not affect somatic growth (ANOVA: control - F2,46 = 0.60, pH = 7.8 - F2,50 = 3.28, pH = 7.6 - F2,61 = 0.03, pH = 7.3 - F2,37 - 3.07). However, standard length (SL) was different across treatment groups (ANOVA: F3,202=18.45, p < 0.001). Though pCO2 impacted somatic growth (y = -0.0002x + 6.8491, n = 206, R2 = 0.2003, F = 51.09, p < 0.001, Fig 3A), pCO2 accounts for only 20% of the variance in SL. Interestingly Ω$_{Arag}$ accounts for 21% of the variance in SL (y = -0.0814x + 0.5911x + 5.7792, n = 206, R2 = 0.2150, F = 27.8023, p < 0.0001, Fig 3B).
Figure 3. Standard length (SL) for *A. clarkii* with respect to pCO$_2$ (A) and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ (B). Linear regression (black), 95% confidence interval (C.I.) (blue) and prediction interval (P.I.) (red) included for SL vs. pCO$_2$ ($R^2 = 0.20$, $p < 0.001$), and quadratic regression and intervals included for SL vs. $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ ($R^2 = 0.22$, $p < 0.001$).

*A. clarkii* otolith circularity index was compared to $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ using linear regression. Variation in otolith circularity index is related to $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ though the relation was not significant for astersci ($p > 0.05$). In sagittae, circularity index creased with decreasing aragonite saturation state meaning that the sagittae become more elliptical with increasing pCO$_2$ (Fig. 4). We found differences in side with the effect of $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ on circularity index stronger on the left than on the right across treatments (right: $R^2 = 0.0949$, $y = 0.010x + 0.7065$, $n = 217$, $F = 23.65$, $p < 0.001$; left: $y = 0.010x + 0.6932$, $n = 217$, $F = 14.55$, $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.0634$). Lapilli were more circular with $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$, meaning
that increasing pCO$_2$ resulted in more circular lapilli (Fig. 4). Right lapilli exhibited significant relation between $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ and circularity index ($y = -0.015x + 0.794$, $n = 215$, $F = 23.05$, $p < 0.001$) but the relation did not explain a large portion of the variance ($R^2 = 0.0976$). Left lapilli results were consistent with the right lapilli ($y = -0.0145x + 0.7900$, $n = 212$, $F = 16.79$, $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.0740$). We found no significant relation between asterisci circularity index and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ (right: $y = -0.0016x + 0.5239$, $n = 185$, $F = 0.1018$, $p = 0.7501$, $R^2 = 0.0006$; left: $y = 0.0034x + 0.4905$, $n = 193$, $F = 0.5266$, $p = 0.4689$, $R^2 = 0.0027$, Fig 4). Slopes were not significantly different between all left and right otoliths of similar type (ANCOVA, $p > 0.05$).
Figure 4. Circularity indices of \textit{A. clarkii} otoliths compared to $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ (linear regression line - black), 95\% C.I.(blue) and P.I.(red) for each otolith type (side/type: L - left, R - right, S - sagitta, L - lapillus, A - asteriscus).

We analyzed otolith area to compare against shape analysis studies. In contrast, we normalized otolith area to SL to remove somatic growth effects from the integrated effect of $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ on area. We found significant, but weak, negative linear relations between normalized area and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ ($p < 0.001$; $R^2 < 0.10$, Fig 5) for all otolith types, but found no significant differences between the left and ride sides (ANCOVA, $p > 0.05$). Particularly,
sagittae area showed a significant negative relation to \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) (p < 0.001) as discussed in other teleost literature (Munday et al., 2011; Checkley et al., 2009).

Figure 5. Normalized area measurements calculated by area/standard length. Represented are linear regression (black), 95% C.I.(blue) and P.I.(red) for each otolith type (side/type: L - left, R - right, S - sagitta, L - lapillus, A - asteriscus).

While area measurements can indicate overall mineralization rate, complex morphologies may render this calculation inaccurate. We therefore analyzed automated perimeter traces as in comparable literature. Comparison of SL-normalized perimeter to \( \Omega_{\text{Arag}} \) showed results similar to those seem for SL-normalized area. All regression slopes...
were significant (p < 0.001) but explained little of the overall variation ($R^2 < 0.1$, Fig 6). Slopes were also not significantly different between otoliths from the left and right side (ANCOVA, $p > 0.05$). SL-normalized perimeter regressions exhibited similar slope results to the SL-normalized area regressions as well, including the negative slope for sagittal perimeter against $\Omega_{Arag}$. 

Figure 6. Normalized perimeter measurements calculated by perimeter/standard length. Represented are linear regression (black), 95% C.I.(blue) and P.I.(red) for each otolith type (side/type: L - left, R - right, S - sagitta, L - lapillus, A - asteriscus).
When comparing circularity index and $\Omega_{Ar}$, with standard length as a covariate, the results contrast with the results from A. percula (Munday et al., 2011). Our results show a high significance to the relation between circularity index and pH treatment ($p < 0.0001$, $F_{3,337}=14.6770$) but low significance between circularity index and SL ($p = 0.2632$, $F_{1,337} = 1.2561$, Fig 7). There is no detectable interaction between SL and pH treatment ($p = 0.1128$, $F_{1,337} = 2.5282$) in my data. Munday et al., (2011) A. percula data showed low significance to the relation between otolith circularity and pH treatment ($p = 0.288$, $F_{2,45} = 1.280$) but a significant SL interaction with pH treatment ($p < 0.001$, $F_{1,45} = 40.84$) (Munday et al., 2011). The comparison between A. clarkii and A. percula suggest intragenus similarities in impact of OA on otolith morphology, though conflicting results on interaction between somatic growth and morphology.
Figure 7. Scatterplot of standard length of individual vs. sagittal otolith circularity index by side (left, L; right, R) and grouped by treatment $\Omega_{Arg}$.

**Discussion**

In this study we developed the otolith circularity index metric to enable interstudy comparison. Using the MATLAB tools developed in this study we provide a method for generating an accurate, repeatable metric for circularity from clean otolith images. Moreover the tools allow for objective measurement of morphometrics such as perimeter and area of all 6 otoliths at a rate of about 30 seconds/image. When comparing this method to those of 2-5 minute individual manual ROI analyses, large scale studies benefit from increased throughput and result in objective measurements. Additionally, commercial image analysis software used in the Munday et al., 2011, comparative study
(Optimas 6.5) is no longer available, increasing the need for an open-source tool available on a widely used platform such as MATLAB.

Though the image tools used in this study provide accurate and reproducible results and allow for rapid processing of images there are some drawbacks to using these tools. Due to the automated nature of the toolkit, it is important to have clean images before using the software. Therefore, some of our images were preprocessed to increase image contrast and brightness and which eroded superfluous particulates and reinforced contrasting edges, as is common in other image analysis studies (i.e. Psenner, 1993; Haralick et al., 1987). Also, we incorporated particle size filters in the final object analysis to remove any object whose calculated area did not fall within the expected as determined from preliminary tests (e.g., to remove particles too small to be otoliths). We edited the code of the analysis toolkit and replaced hard-coded values, such as minimum area filters. By replacing the current dialog driven interface with a graphical user interface (GUI), newer versions of this toolkit will not require editing of the code. Lastly, we optimized image processing and data storage to support processing of high resolution images. We believe the circularity index metric and software designed here renders exact perimeter traces are unnecessary. It is therefore possible to resize images to fit the needs of the study, thus reducing both processing and storage requirements. In the GUI version of the toolkit we will add interactive resizing dialogs to speed optimization for large images.

Somatic growth of *A. clarkii* decreased significantly under high pCO$_2$. This result is in direct contrast to Munday et al (2011) who found no significant difference in *A.*
*Percula* standard length with respect to treatment group. The Munday et al. (2011) study included fewer replicates than our study: 3 pseudo-replicated aquariums using flow-through, pre-dosed water from header tanks versus individually controlled, independent tanks for full replication used in our study. Both studies included treatments at ambient pH, 7.8 and 7.6. Our fish began to display settlement coloration in day 8, four days earlier than in the Munday et al. (2011) study. Our fish, unlike those in the Munday et al. (2011) study, reached settlement age at a time similar to what is seen in the wild (8 days; (Fisher et al., 2000; Fisher and Bellwood, 2003; Thresher et al., 1989)). We attribute this difference to the fact that, in our study, prey item selection included a more natural diet (copepods versus rotifers and artemia in Munday et al., 2011) (Gordon et al., 2000; Olivotto et al., 2008). We found significant relations between SL and both pCO$_2$ and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ though neither parameter explained the majority of the SL variance. Although both pCO$_2$ and $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ are related through the acid-base chemistry of carbonate, we expect to see differences associated with the physiology of the fish. Ameliorating blood acidosis induced by maintaining equilibrium between water pCO$_2$ and the blood pCO$_2$ has a direct energetic cost (Pörtner et al., 2004; Cech et al., 2002; Ishimatsu et al., 2008). The blood pCO$_2$ will directly impact the pCO$_2$ of the endolymph through transmission of hydrogen ion across the endolymphatic membrane required to maintain the pH gradient within the endolymph that enables crystallization of aragonite (Tohse et al., 2006). As such, pCO$_2$ in the water will have an indirect relation to the saturation state of aragonite within the endolymph. Our data suggest that variance in somatic growth, SL, over the length of our experiment (~8 days) is best explained by individual variability, though between 15-20%
of the variance in somatic growth can be attributed to pCO$_2$. Decreases in somatic growth with increasing pCO$_2$, even at the level observed in this study, may have profound impacts on survival. The effect of ocean acidification induced delayed settlement is not well studied for teleosts, though literature exists for marine invertebrates. Many studies have shown impacts on growth (e.g. Ries et al., 2009; Ross et al., 2011) while others go on to discuss impacts on feeding efficiency and higher rates of starvation (e.g. Kurihara et al., 2007; Timmins-Schiffman et al., 2012). Common effects are plausible between pelagic larvae, and we expect similar results of higher predation, lower feeding efficiency, and decreases in general population fitness in the context of our somatic growth results. Any fitness changes across genera will have a profound effect on the distribution of species within marine communities.

The circularity index of sagittae and lapilli is linearly related to $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$. Although the amount of variance in circularity index explained is low (<10%), the relation is significant. Higher pCO$_2$ directly impacts otolith circularity index such that, for lapilli, we saw a shift towards less circular sagittae and more circular lapilli under OA conditions. These changes could have profound ecological significance. The primary function of the sagittal otolith is hearing (Popper and Fay, 1993; Popper et al., 2003; Braun and Coombs, 2000; Bass and McKibben, 2003). The interaction of the sagittae with the macula is critical to its function and we expect that an increase in elliptical shape will impact its function. The lapilli also assists in hearing, but its primary function is gravisensing (Riley & Moorman, 2000). The lapilli functions much like a buoy moving up and down within the utricle (Whitfield et al., 2002; Fekete, 2003). Its function is
enabled by its elliptical shape which, if it becomes more circular, will change its function and response to horizontal stimuli (Gauldie, 1993). Changes in the circularity of the sagittae (vertical stimuli) and lapilli (horizontal orientation) will impact function though it is unclear how. We do know that otolith morphology changes over the life history of a fish, particularly in early life stages (Brown et al., 2001; Megalofonou, 2006; Vignon, 2012; Secor and Dean, 1989; Wilson and McCormick, 1999) so changes in circularity could have profound consequences for survival. Our results show that small, but significant, changes in sagittal and lapillar circularity index are associated with decreased $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$. There is, however, no apparent relation between circularity index of the sagittae or lapilli and somatic growth. The lack of relation between somatic growth and otolith circularity indicates an independent mechanism. In the context of olfaction studies (Munday et al., 2009; Nilsson et al., 2012), it appears as though blood pH, as opposed to pCO2 or $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ are directly responsible. While gravitation sense becomes necessary for navigation cues once clownfish become demersal, feeding events while at pelagic larvae stage require proper musculature and olfactory development.

When comparing the regression slope coefficients for circularity index versus $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ to regression slope coefficients of normalized area and perimeter versus $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$, sagittae show different relations than lapilli and asterisci. Under decreasing $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$, sagittal otoliths became less circular but are larger in overall two-dimensional area and perimeter. Conversely lapilli became more circular under decreasing $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ with no detectable change in area or perimeter. Asteriscii results were inconclusive.
Changes in otolith morphology may translate to changes in behavior associated with changes in the function of the otoliths. In our experiment, while not quantified, we did see evidence that swim behavior changed with increasing pCO$_2$. In the highest pCO$_2$ treatment (2900 ppmv; pH = 7.3), settlement stage fish swam at constant speed in large arcing paths. Fish in control aquariums (pCO$_2$ = 300 ppmv; pH = 8.2) exhibited instances of station keeping followed by darting, feeding behaviors. These observations support the suggestion that otolith morphological changes impact behavior though further experimentation is required to quantify the impacts. Based on the work of Riley and Moorman (2000) and others (Bignami et al., 2013; Hardison et al., 2005; Hilbig et al., 2002), we attribute the observed differences in swimming behavior to higher pCO$_2$ impacts on otolith circularity index. If behavioral changes can be attributed to otolith morphological changes such as seen here, fish survival may decline due to difficulties in navigation which will directly impact feeding, settlement, and predator avoidance.

Genetic studies suggest that there are redundancies that enable fish to recover from disturbances to this vestibular system (Hughes et al., 2004). Thus additional research is needed to determine the extent of which long term effects persist. In the context of OA and the mechanistic understanding of blood pH/pCO$_2$ regulation (Tohse et al., 2006) it is possible that, under long-term exposure to OA, vestibular recovery may be inhibited. Further work must focus on the mineralogy and crystal habit of the otolith to more fully understand long term influences in shape and thereby function.
Conclusions

*A. clarkii* standard length was lower in larvae reared at higher pCO$_2$. Sagittal otolith circularity index decreased with decreasing $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ whereas lapilli circularity index increased with decreasing $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$. Since lapilli are vital for the proper function of the vestibular organ, we conclude that increased circularity index will impact gravisensor functionality. We know that $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ is the parameter that defines whether aragonite is stable. Therefore the fact that decreasing $\Omega_{\text{Arag}}$ directly impacts otolith morphology in *A. clarkii* larvae suggests that OA could have profound impacts on survival. The impacts we observed in this study showed that OA impacts pre-settlement larvae otolith morphology. We do not know whether the otoliths recover post-settlement. Future studies will explore the post-settlement impacts of OA on larval otolith morphology. We suspect that, since the disturbance to the carbonate system was external to the fish, the persistence of OA conditions would preclude recovery of the otolith system. In the context of otolith function modeling under OA (Bignami et al., 2013), these changes in morphology suggest functional changes between multiple species. This functional change may cause large scale changes in species distribution across many communities.

To more further understand the functional changes associated with shape, studies of the sulcus-macula interaction zone must focus on microstructural changes. Little is known with regards to the mineralogy and crystal habit of the this important biomechanical sensor and forthcoming work will attempt to illuminate changes along this interaction area.
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Supplemental information

MATLAB image analysis methodology

MATLAB code used for image analysis is available over GitHub (github.com/edfreeburg/MATLAB-image-analysis). To use the code, download to a directory currently in your PATH. Use MATLAB help to add directories to your PATH if necessary. Run the code by typing “Morpho.m” into your MATLAB command line. Navigate to the directory where images are being stored for analysis. The script will process the images and move them to a subdirectory labeled “Processed” to assist the user in refraining from processing the same sample multiple times. Once all samples are processed, each sample will have an independent *.xls file.
CHAPTER 4

THE SHAPE OF THINGS TO COME: OCEAN ACIDIFICATION CAUSES FISH EARSTONE MINERALIZATION CHANGE

Abstract

Ocean acidification is expected to severely impact marine calcifiers, and as such, reef fish are currently the subject of intense study. While initial work showed enhanced growth of the otolith in acidified conditions (Bignami et al., 2013; Checkley et al., 2009), little is known about how this impacts otolith gravisensor function in relation to its sensory maculae. As a first step towards understanding the impact of OA on otolith structure and function, I assessed the general crystal habit of the otolith. Core habit development was closely correlated to $\Omega_{Ar}$ for two species of clownfish, *Amphiprion clarkii* ($R^2 = 0.65$, $p < 0.001$) and *A. frenatus* ($R^2 = 0.76$, $p < 0.001$). In the context of recent work, I believe these changes in core habit indicate a significant functional change. If functional change occurs, fishes may experience drastic declines in survival. Herein, I describe the first dataset looking specifically into otolith core development with respect to $\Omega_{Ar}$.

Introduction

The oceans are acidifying as atmospheric CO$_2$ is absorbed into the ocean (Feely et al., 2004). The process of ocean acidification (OA) consequently changes the carbonate...
system equilibria resulting in declining aragonite saturation state, $\Omega_A$. This change has a

direct effect on calcifying organisms. However, organismal response varies among

marine species (Ries et al., 2009; Widdicombe and Needham, 2007; Checkley et al.,

2009; Kroeker et al., 2011; Fabry et al., 2008).

Reef fishes are affected by ocean acidification (Munday et al., 2011; Munday et

al., 2009; Munday et al., 2010; Nilsson et al., 2012; Dixson et al., 2010). A critical

organismal system, the otoliths (earstones) used for gravitational sensing, are affected by

carbonate equilibria state. Since calcification of otoliths occurs internally in a system

isolated from the open ocean, the impact of OA on otolith growth and mineralogy is

expected to be distinct from impacts on external calcifiers like corals and other marine

invertebrates. For this reason, it is important to study the mineralization of fish otoliths to

more fully understand the impacts of OA on calcification. Studies of otoliths and OA

have, thus far, focused on otolith size, shape, and density (Checkley et al., 2009; Munday

et al., 2011; Bignami et al., 2013). As the primary gravisensor in fish, the interaction of

the otolith with the sensory macula is of great importance to the survival of the

individual. Therefore otolith morphology, particularly at the site of macular interaction,

and mineralogy, through differences in density between calcium carbonate polymorphs,

must be evaluated.

Changed otolith morphology may have an impact on otolith function. The otolith

acts as a buoy, free floating in an extracellular endolymphatic fluid while interacting with

hair cell maculae. Change in the shape of the otolith may change its response to

orientation change. The otolith interacts with the sensory maculae along colliculum
trench (Nolf, 1985). Since the macula interacts with the otolith along the otolith surface, morphology has a clear impact on otolith function. Vestibular function, in the context of otolith malformation, has been discussed [i.e. (Söllner et al., 2003; Riley and Moorman, 2000; Fekete, 2003)]. Imaging the whole otolith at high resolution allows for the characterization of core development along the colliculum. Due to the potential impacts of changed otolith-maculae interaction on vestibular function, I studied the effects of OA on otolith core development and core crystal habit.

**Materials and Methods**

Fish were grown in pH-stat OA treatment tanks at a density of approximately 25 individuals per 40 L aquarium. Target pH was set for 7.3, 7.6, 7.8, and a control with no set-point (pH = 8.18 ± 0.03) with 3 replicates for each treatment pH for a total of 12 individually controlled aquaria (Wilcox-Freeburg et al., 2013). *Isocrysis* spp cell density was measured using a Coulter Counter (Beckman Coulter, Inc, Brea, CA). Aquariums were dosed twice per day to a final *Isocrysis* concentration of 40,000 cells/mL. *Pseudodiaptomus* spp were provided as live food. Densities of copepod nauplii were dosed to 5/mL while adults were dosed to 1/mL. Light cycle was held at 14 hour on, 10 hours off. Fish were sacrificed when the majority of fish in a given aquarium had reached settlement age (8 days post hatch). All 6 otoliths (2 pairs of sagittae, lapilli, and asterisci) were extracted using a dissection scope and rinsed in 98% ethanol, allowed to dry and placed on aluminum scanning electron microscope (SEM) stubs for analysis.
Following SEM imaging, otoliths were analyzed for morphological characteristics using MATLAB image analysis software (version 8.2.0.701 2013b; software download link and instructions for use are available in the supplement). Circularity was calculated from major and minor axis evaluations and variance in circularity analyzed using linear regression against the pH treatment group. SEM images of the otoliths were scored using a rubric that assessed core crystal development on a range from 1 (no clear core structure) to 5 (clear, grouped large crystals with little organization). Each otolith, for both *A clarkii* (n=434) and *A. frenatus* (n = 57), was scored once by 6 different readers, each of whom was trained on a subset of images that were omitted from the final test group. Values for each sample were averaged, and regressed against the pCO$_2$ treatment group. Specific methodology used in this study is available in the supplemental materials.

Upon initial inspection, otoliths exhibit varying surface textural features. In the artificial bone-tissue interaction literature, results indicate a strong binding affinity between tissues and artificial bone at specific roughness characteristics (Chappard et al., 2003; Stupp and Ciegler, 1992; Grant et al., 2007). These optimal roughness studies indicate a close relationship between tissues and their hard substrate. In the context of this data, I believe roughness characteristics of the otolith also closely correspond to macula function. Therefore, an additional MATLAB script was written to quantify surface roughness characteristics. This study represents the first attempt at developing a roughness characteristic analysis set and apply it to the field of OA-biomineralization.
Results

Standard length (SL) is a standard measurement taken from the tip of the snout to the termination of the hypural plates. SL was measured for all *A. clarkii* and *A. frenatus*. SL was regressed against $\Omega_{Ar}$. A second order relation between SL and $\Omega_{Ar}$ was detected for *A. clarkii* ($p < 0.0001$, $F_{3,203} = 27.802$, $R^2 = 0.215$, Fig 1A). The correlation is significant but does not account for the majority of the variance. *A. frenatus* somatic growth (SL) was uncorrelated to $\Omega_{Ar}$ ($p = 0.4268$, $F_{2,28} = 0.6503$, Fig 1B).

The circularity index of *A. clarkii* sagittal otoliths decreased significantly as $\Omega_{Ar}$ decreased ($p < 0.001$, Fig 2A) but the correlation was low for left sagittal otoliths ($R^2 = 0.0634$). The right sagittal otolith of *A. clarkii* exhibited a similar trend ($p < 0.001$, Fig 2B). Significant trends are not detected for *A. frenatus* (Fig 2C, D). In contrast to *A. clarkii*, the regressions for both *A. frenatus* sagittal otoliths exhibit insignificant correlation (left: $p = 0.8385$, $F_{2,25} = 0.0424$; right: $p = 0.3263$, $F_{2,28} = 0.9981$).

A general negative relation between core crystal development score and $\Omega_{Ar}$ was also observed for *A. clarkii* (Fig 3A). The slope of the trend line and correlation were significant ($F_{1,354} = 648.0753$, $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.648$). A similar association was detected for *A. frenatus* ($F_{1,61} = 189.9739$, $p < 0.001$, $R^2 = 0.763$, Fig 3B). *A. clarkii* otolith surface roughness was highly variable (Fig 4). Regressions run on each *A. frenatus* otolith type against $\Omega_{Ar}$ were only significant for right sagittal otoliths ($p = 0.0241$, $F_{2,103} = 5.2407$, Fig 4D) while all others had insignificant regression outcomes ($p > 0.05$).
Discussion and Conclusion

Past studies have relied on 2D imaging techniques and area assessments (Munday et al., 2011; Checkley et al., 2009). While Munday et al. (2011) do discuss otolith morphological parameters to explain complex responses to OA, Checkley et al. (2009) relied only on otolith area data. Depending on the species of fish, quantifying the mass of larval otoliths is very difficult (A. clarkii sagitta length < 400µm). Assumptions made by Checkley et al. (2009) and others regarding increased mineralization rate ignore the possibility of changed mineralogy. Indeed, maintaining a constant mineralization rate while switching from aragonite [aragonitic sagittae = 2.93 g/cm$^3$] to vaterite [vateritic sagittae = 2.65 g/cm$^3$ (Campana and Thorrold, 2001)] could explain up to a 10% increase in volume seen by Checkley et al. (2009). In pioneering work by Bignami et al. (2013) otolith surface area and volume were measured and compared to relative otolith density using micro-computed tomography (µCT). This was the first direct exploration of otolith density (cobia; Rachycentron canadum) and the first to do so under OA. Bignami et al. (2013) showed an increase in relative otolith density (+6%) attributed to a shift away from aragonite deposition. I developed additional scoring techniques to more accurately assess the impacts of OA on otolith mineralogy and to changes in morphology.

Results from the circularity analysis correlate with observations in previous studies showing little relation between pH treatment and otolith circularity. Although the slope is significant, the correlation between pCO$_2$ treatment and otolith circularity is too low to be of consequence. It is likely, therefore, that other unaccounted for factors control
the circularity of the otolith or that the variation is primarily due to individual differences rather than environmentally induced differences. We do not know what the baseline individual variation in otolith circularity is in these species of fish.

We found that sagittae from fish grown at high pCO$_2$ were more prone to have prominent, protruding structures, indicating a change from normal aragonite mineralogy and/or habit (visible external shape of individual crystals or aggregates). Aragonite habit is typically orthorhombic but also occurs as prismatic whereas vaterite habit is hexagonal. Both polymorphs can occur as amorphous with poorly developed habits. In the data presented here, I find significant changes in aragonite habit, especially in the core region where macular contact occurs. At increasing pCO$_2$, the habit change towards larger, prismatic crystal development near the core provides higher surface area for enhanced mineralization (Fig 3). At low pCO$_2$, crystal habit around the core was significantly more amorphous, indicating protein dominated mineralization.

Generally, clownfishes exhibit a major behavioral change as they settle, moving from pelagic to demersal habitats and show strong attraction to structure. Otolith function, dependent on macular interaction with the otolith surface, is imperative for settlement and navigation (Hardison et al., 2005; Riley and Moorman, 2000). Significant changes in core development, therefore, may be detrimental to the ongoing survival of reef fishes. Indeed, the observed shift toward aragonite prismatic crystal mineralization should significantly change the otolith-macula interaction.

Recently, otoliths from a highly migratory fish (*R. canadum*, Cobia) grown in acidified conditions [pH = 8.13 to 7.04 (Bignami et al., 2013)] exhibited an increase in
sagittal density. This relative density increase may be explained by the core crystal habit data presented here. Since mineralization of the otolith is protein-mediated, lattice proteins may not be incorporated at the same rate, resulting in increased mineralization on the face of existing crystals. This may explain the observed lack of ordered crystal structure in high pCO$_2$ treatments. Another important aspect of the observed crystal formation at high pCO$_2$ are the deep grooves formed in the core of the otolith. To date, physiomechanical simulations of macula transduction in response to otolith orientation change have not been reported. The only function modeling reports use an oscillator model to describe macula transduction (Bignami et al., 2013; Lychakov and Rebane, 2005; De Vries, 1950; Lychakov and Rebane, 2000) whose inputs include otolith area and shape, but no 3D surface topographic data. Calculation of physical responses using a more sophisticated 3D model will better inform assessments of functional assessments.

Hearing models predicted a marked decrease in the lower limit of hearing sensitivity with response to the observed relative density increase in *R. canadum* (Bignami et al., 2013). This inherent change in sound sensitivity may also result in other sensory changes. In the context of these results, it is imperative to more fully understand the ramifications of changed otolith composition, structure, and morphology to function of the system. In other fish species, altered otolith formation corresponds to changes in swimming and general navigation behavior (Beier, 1998; Hilbig et al., 2002). Although these behavioral changes occurred in response to complete failure of the otolith system, it is likely that there is a gradational response with changes in $\Omega_{Ar}$. In the context of OA, these results of other otolith studies as well as the data presented here, expose a need to
more fully understand the physiomechanical properties of the otolith and the ramifications of changed mineralogy on function. 

I believe I have discovered a potential driver for catastrophic gravisense failure. The significance of behavioral changes induced by otolith malformation may be high given that fish with pelagic estuarine larval stages are already experiencing extremes in low pH, such as those found in upwelling zones along the US Pacific Northwest coastline (Hauri et al., 2013; Feely et al., 2008; Feely et al., 2010).
Table 1. Carbonate chemistry showing average (±SD where applicable) and model output from CO2SYS data output as collected over the period of the experiment. All water chemistry parameters were collected using standard methods (Dickson et al., 2007; Millero et al., 1993). pH was measured on the total scale using UV spectroscopy (Byrne, 1987). Salinity (S), temperature (T), pH\textsubscript{T}, and total alkalinity (A\textsubscript{T}) were measured directly. Using the CO2SYS excel macro (Pierrot et al., 2006), carbonate chemistry parameters were calculated using the above measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH\textsubscript{T}</th>
<th>S ppt</th>
<th>T °C</th>
<th>A\textsubscript{T} µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>DIC µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>pCO\textsubscript{2} µatm</th>
<th>CO\textsubscript{2} µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>CO\textsubscript{3}\textsubscript{2-} µmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}</th>
<th>(\Omega\textsubscript{Ar})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.16 (0.04)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>2440 (147)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>299.4</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>1709.99</td>
<td>300.12</td>
<td>4.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.80 (0.01)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>2440 (152)</td>
<td>2237</td>
<td>825.5</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td>2058.15</td>
<td>157.68</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.60 (0.01)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>2432 (140)</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>1384.3</td>
<td>36.14</td>
<td>2176.54</td>
<td>105.58</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.30 (0.01)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>28.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>2418 (140)</td>
<td>2415</td>
<td>2897.0</td>
<td>75.82</td>
<td>2284.16</td>
<td>55.34</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig 1. Somatic growth (standard length) and relation to modeled aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{Ar}$) comparisons between *A. clarkii* (A) and *A. frenatus* (B). Mean ± S.D. are included as dot and tails, respectively. Regression (black), 95% C.I. (blue), and 95% P.I. (red) are also included. *A. clarkii* exhibited a significant 2$^{nd}$ order relationship to $\Omega_{Ar}$ ($p < 0.0001$, $F_{2,203} = 27.8023$, SL = 0.591*\(\Omega\) - 0.081*\(\Omega^2\) + 5.779, A). *A. frenatus* exhibited no significant linear correlation ($p = 0.427$, $F_{2,28} = 0.6503$, SL = 0.043*\(\Omega\) + 7.0731, B).
Fig. 2. Circularity index and modeled aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$) for *A. clarkii* for left (A) and right (B) and *A. frenatus* for left (C) and right (D) sagittal otoliths vs. $\Omega_{\text{Ar}}$, including linear regression results exhibiting 95% confidence intervals (blue) and 95% prediction interval (red). *A. clarkii* results are significant (left: CircIndex = 0.0093*\(\Omega\) + 0.0093, \(p < 0.001\), \(F_{2,215} = 14.55\); right: CircIndex = 0.0099*\(\Omega\) + 0.7065, \(p < 0.0001\), \(F_{2,215} = 23.6467\)) while *A. frenatus* correlation are not (\(p > 0.05\)).
Fig 3. Core development score and modeled aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{Ar}$) linear regression results with embedded SEM imagery of otoliths for *A. clarkii* (A) and *A. frenatus* (B), including regression line (black). Examples are taken from each pCO$_2$ treatment group. All samples are of equal scale with the scale bar representing 150 µm. *A. clarkii* score regression was significant (score = $-0.6043*\Omega_{Ar} + 4.3813$, $F_{1,354} = 648.0753$, $p < 0.001$, A). *A. frenatus* score regression was also significant (score = $-0.7837*\Omega_{Ar} + 5.4391$, $F_{1,61} = 189.9739$, $p < 0.001$, B). Slopes were significantly different between species as well (ANCOVA, $\Omega_{Ar}$-Species interaction term, $F_{3,411} = 7.788$ $p = 0.0055$).
Fig 4. Roughness assessment compared to modeled aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_{Ar}$) output, including mean ± S.D (dot, tails), regression (black), 95% C.I. (blue), and 95% P.I.(red). The only regression that was significant was for the right sagittal otoliths (Roughness = -0.0133*$\Omega_{Ar}$ + 0.291, p = 0.00241, F$_{2,103}$ = 5.2407, D).


Riley, B.B., and Moorman, S.J., 2000, Development of utricular otoliths, but not saccular otoliths, is necessary for vestibular function and survival in zebrafish: Journal of Neurobiology, v. 43, no. 4, p. 329–337.


**Supplemental materials**

**A1: Experimental methods**

Experiment apparatus was constructed as indicated in Wilcox Freeburg et al. (2013). 12 experimental 40L glass aquariums were deployed for the research. The sides and back of the tank were painted flat black with epoxy resin paint and the front was covered with removable 6 mil plastic sheeting. Direct high output fluorescent overhead lighting was maintained on a 14 hour diurnal cycle. pCO\(_2\) was controlled using a DigitalAquatics Reef Keeper Elite reef tank controller. Individual tanks were pH monitored using single junction refillable glass electrodes calibrated on the total hydrogen scale pH\(_T\) (Byrne, 1987; Millero et al., 1993). Aquariums were aerated using externally supplied house air. CO\(_2\) was pulse dosed using solenoid valves when pH drifted above setpoint. pH was also manually assessed using a handheld meter calibrated to pH\(_T\) synthetic seawater buffers, as above. Total alkalinity (A\(_T\)) was monitored twice daily for each aquarium following standard methods (EPA #310.1). Salinity was measured using a conductivity electrode immediately calibrated prior to measurement. Temperature was measured using an alcohol thermometer (±0.1ºC). These values were input into CO2SYS for carbonate series modeling for DIC, pCO\(_2\), [CO\(_3^{2-}\)], [HCO\(_3^-\)], and Ω\(_{Ar}\) (Pierrot et al., 2006). Aquarium seawater was held static with 25% water changes every other day.

Fish were initially dosed at a density of 1 individual per liter (40/aquarium). Following hatch, fish were randomly distributed into the experimental aquariums. pH was then manually dosed to experimental levels over a period of 2 hours. Fish were
grown in a background of *Isochrysis* spp. Algae density was measured by Coulter Counter (Coulter Instruments, Inc) and was dosed to hold density to 40,000 cells/mL. Native *Pseudodiaptomus* spp. copepods were collected and selected into monoculture. This population was then used to dose experimental aquariums at a density of 4 nauplii and 1 adult per mL.

Fish were grown until coloration and behavior shifted to those typically seen in juveniles (8 days). Fish were sacrificed using a lethal dose of tricaine methanesulfonate. Fish were then placed on 1mm gratings and photographed under a dissection microscope. Fish were then rinsed and stored in 95% ethanol.

**A2: Otolith extraction method**

Otoliths were removed from the fish using microsurgical techniques. Fish were transferred to a glass petri dish and submerged in 70% ethanol. Under a dissection microscope, fish heads were removed from the body posterior to the gills. Heads were laterally transected. Microforceps were used to displace the brain and manipulate tissue surrounding the otoconia. Crossed polarizers were used to take advantage of the optically active otolith mineral phase (Fig A2.1). Otoliths were then moved to a clean petri dish, washed of any superficial material and photographed in a repeated orientation (Figure A2.2).
Fig A2.1. Example photograph of crossed-polarizer view of otoliths. Contrast is high in the photograph due to camera auto-exposure settings.

Figure A2.2. Example photograph with superimposed otolith side and type.
A3: *Otolith core score training guide*

The following guide was given to every person responsible for scoring images. Due to time restraints, almost all of the participants stopped their scoring after finishing up the core development section (characteristic #4). The complete guide is attached herein for use in future work.
CHARACTERISTIC #1 - CRYSTAL HABIT
Crystal habit has to do with shape of a crystal. You should focus on the whole otolith though most of the crystal structure will be in the “core” of the otolith. Below are the habits and pictures of the “ideal” shape.

Orthorhombic  Hexagonal  Acicular  acrystalline  Amorphous
No  Small
obvious  “blubs” of
 crystals  material

To Score the images, identify the DOMINANT habit:
1 = orthorhombic
2 = acicular
3 = hexagonal
4 = acrystalline (no crystals)
5 = amorphous
CHARACTERISTIC #2 – MINEROLOGY

Here we will use crystal habit to identify relative percentages of minerals using the following key:

Aragonite  
Vaterite

Score images as follows:
1 = completely aragonite
2 = vaterite $\leq 33\%$
3 = vaterite $33\%$ to $66\%$
4 = $\geq 66\%$ vaterite.
5 = no visible crystals
**CHARACTERISTIC #3 - % VISIBLE MINERALS**
Using your best estimate, what is the relative % of visible crystals? Enter into Box.

**ESTIMATING PERCENTAGES**
Below are images with the relative % of dark material noted. When you look at these figures examine the balance of white space to dark space use the balance to estimate % dark.

Using the above figure as an example you will be asked to evaluate the % of a particular characteristic in images of fish ear stones (otoliths).
CHARACTERISTIC #4 - CORE DEVELOPMENT

Rank core crystal development using the scheme shown below (1-5)
COMMENTS: No clear visible crystals. Gouge on surface is from tweezers. Flecks on surface are from carbon coating.

HABIT SCORE: 5  MINERAL SCORE: 4  % SCORE: 0%  CORE: 1
COMMENTS: Flecks on surface are from carbon coating. Curved area represents core of otolith. When you see structure score in this area.

HABIT SCORE: 1        MINERAL SCORE: 1        % SCORE: 90%        CORE: 2
COMMENTS: No clear visible crystals except on upper right. Flecks on surface are from carbon coating.

HABIT SCORE: 5  
MINERAL SCORE: 4  
% SCORE: 2%  
CORE: 1
COMMENTS: Dark streaks – tweezer marks. Crystals visible upper right quadrant.

HABIT SCORE: 1  MINERAL SCORE: 1  % SCORE: 90%  CORE: 3
COMMENTS: Crystals visible on upper right and center. Gouge on surface is from tweezers.
HABIT SCORE: 5      MINERAL SCORE: 4      % SCORE: 75%      CORE: 1
COMMENTS: Dark regions on surface from tweezers. Cracks are caused by dessication. Shape of crystals is pointed and in some cases “flat topped” suggesting some vaterite.

HABIT SCORE: 2    MINERAL SCORE: 2    % SCORE: 60%    CORE: 2
COMMENTS: This is a textbook example of otolith structure with a clearly visible core to the otolith showing beautiful prismatic aragonite.

HABIT SCORE: 1   MINERAL SCORE: 1   % SCORE: 90% CORE: 5
COMMENTS: Very little visible structure. Flecks on surface are from carbon coating and also could be amorphous material. Center region looks vateritic.

HABIT SCORE: 5  MINERAL SCORE: 2  % SCORE: 10%  CORE: 2
COMMENTS: Dark regions are from tweezers. Crystal habit visible on right side. Though prismatic in center the majority is not prismatic.

HABIT SCORE: 2  MINERAL SCORE: 1  % SCORE: 90%  CORE: 3
COMMENTS: Little visible structure. Debris on surface from carbon tape. Upper left shows amorphous mineralogy. Mineralogy center looks like vaterite.

HABIT SCORE: 5        MINERAL SCORE: 2        % SCORE: 2%
CORE: 2
A4: Example image from core scoring website

Qualtrics (Qualtrics, L.L.C) enterprise survey resources allowed for the use of crowd-sourced analysis. Although this data was not publically available, several scientists and non-scientists alike were trained using the attached manual and given a link to score otoliths individually. Using the web interface, otoliths were displayed on screen and scored using the slider on the webpage (Fig A4.1).
Fig A4.1 Website screenshot of otolith core scoring page.
A5: MATLAB image analysis toolset instructions

The MATLAB image analysis toolset used in this study is available online (github.com/edfreeburg/MATLAB-roughness-image-analysis/) and is also added to this supplement (SA4). All modules used in this script are included in the image analysis toolbox, or freely available on the MATLAB file exchange (mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/) or on Stack Overflow (stackoverflow.com).

This toolset is mainly prompt driven, so its use is obvious when deployed. Run the script by calling the script name in the command line of MATLAB. Following script start, a standard prompt will appear allowing one to navigate to the directory where all images are stored for analysis. The script will loop over every image in the folder, so making subset folders is highly suggested.

The image is then automatically background subtracted and displayed for approval (Fig SA5.1). Following this procedure, the script uses a local normalizing routine to remove of all “gradient” lighting effects that are inherent in SEM imagery. After image flattening, a nearest neighbor standard deviation calculation is carried out over a scanning window across the image. This standard deviation finds areas of particularly different grayscale values in close proximity, or rough areas. Using a hard-coded threshold value, the image is then converted to binary and box counted. The result is displayed, morphometrics calculated on the image mask, and summary data is stored locally. Following analysis of
all images in the folder, a summary *.xls file will be created and saved that contains all data collected for the analysis.

Figure A5.1. Example image, background subtraction, and final processed image proof (left to right).
%% Load sample
clear all;
close all;
loop = 0;
while loop == 0
    load('C:\MATLAB\LUP.mat');
    if LUP == 0
        LUP = 'C:\';
    end
    ftypes = {'*.jpg','JPEG';'*.bmp','Bitmap';'*.tif','TIF'};
    [Filename, PATH] = uigetfile(ftypes,'Select image:',LUP);
    RPF = [PATH,Filename];
    cd 'C:\MATLAB';
    LUP = PATH;
    save LUP.mat LUP
    I = imread(RPF);
    
    [D1, FileNm, D2] = fileparts(RPF);
    IC = size(I);
    ICb = size(IC);
    if ICb(1,2) == 3
        L = rgb2gray(I);
    else L = I;
    end
    % Threshold/binarize
    K = medfilt2(L,[3 3]);
    imshow(I);
    switch button
        case 'Manual'
            MethT = 1;
        case 'Low Contrast(Auto)'
            MethT = 2;
        end
    close all;
    repro = 0;
    rep_adj = 0;
    try1 = 20;
    try2 = 40;
    while repro == 0;
        J = rangefilt(K);
        if MethT == 1;
            try1 = try1;
            J(J < try1) = 0;
        end
        J(J > try2) = 0;
    end
J(J >= try1) = 1;
J = logical(J);
M = J;
else
lvM = graythresh(K);
M = im2bw(K,lvM);
J = rangefilt(M);
end

imshow(J)
s = size(J);
X = [];
button_repro = questdlg('Reprocessing required?', 'Reprocessing required?', 'Yes', 'Reset', 'No', 'No');
switch button_repro
    case 'Yes'
        repro = 0;
        MethT = 1;
        try1_str = num2str(try1);
        try2_str = num2str(try2);
        rep_adj = inputdlg([[{'Low'}, {'High'}], 'Range:' ,1, {[try1_str}, {try2_str}]);
        try1 = str2double(rep_adj{1,1});
        try2 = str2double(rep_adj{2,1});
    case 'Reset'
        repro = 0;
        try1 = 20;
        try2 = 40;
    case 'No'
        repro = 1;
end

%% Calculate parameters

for row = 1:25:s(1)                 % Identification of objects (rowscan)
    for col=1:s(2)
        if J(row,col),
            break;
        end
    end
    contour = bwtraceboundary(J, [row, col], 'SW', 8, Inf, 'counterclockwise');
    if(~isempty(contour))
        X = [X; {contour}];
    else
        hold on; plot(col, row,'rx','LineWidth',2);
    end
end

for row = s(1):-25:1                 % Identification of objects (descending rowscan)
    for col=s(2):-1:1
        if J(row,col),
            break;
        end
    end
end
end
contour = bwtraceboundary(J, [row, col], 'NE', 8, Inf, 'clockwise');
if(~isempty(contour))
    X = [X; {contour}];
else
    hold on; plot(col, row,'rx','LineWidth',2);
end
end

for col = 1:25:s(2)                 % Identification of objects (column scan)
    for row=1:s(1)
        if J(row,col),
            break;
        end
    end
    contour = bwtraceboundary(J, [row, col], 'NE', 8, Inf, 'counterclockwise');
    if(~isempty(contour))
        X = [X; {contour}];
    else
        hold on; plot(col, row,'rx','LineWidth',2);
    end
end

for col = s(2):-25:1                 % Identification of objects (descending column scan)
    for row=s(1):-1:1
        if J(row,col),
            break;
        end
    end
    contour = bwtraceboundary(J, [row, col], 'SW', 8, Inf, 'clockwise');
    if(~isempty(contour))
        X = [X; {contour}];
    else
        hold on; plot(col, row,'rx','LineWidth',2);
    end
end

z1 = size(X);
md = [];
c = 0;
for row = 1:z1(1,1);              % Deleting multiple object hits.
    md = [md; size(X(row,:))];
end
[junk, idx] = unique(md,'rows');
Xa = X(sort(idx,1));

z2 = size(Xa);                % Delete erroneous object hits.
md2 = []; for row = 1:z2(1,1); md2 = [md2; size(Xa{row,1})]; end
md2 = md2(:,1);
fa = find(md2>100);
Xb = Xa(fa,:); % Resultant x-y pair traces.
z3 = size(Xb);
hold on
for obj1 = 1:z3(1,1); % Plot reduced set
    Pl = Xb{obj1,1};
    CntCoord_lb = mean(Pl);
    lblObj = num2str(obj1);
    text(CntCoord_lb(2),CntCoord_lb(1),lblObj,'HorizontalAlignment','center','Color',[0 1 0],'BackgroundColor',[0 0 0],...
        'FontSize',24);
end

button2 = questdlg('Erroneous extra volume data?'); % Remove error volumes
switch button2
    case 'Yes'
        b2 = 1;
    case 'No'
        b2 = 0;
    case 'Cancel'
        b2 = 2;
end
redc = []; redr = [];
if b2 == 1;
    erd = impoly;
    pos = getPosition(erd);
    c = pos(:,1);
    r = pos(:,2);
    BWi = (roipoly(M,c,r));
    [redc, redr] = find(BWi==1);
end

BW = [];
for item = 1:z3(1,1);
    c = [];
    r = [];
    A = Xb{item,1}; % Define ROIs.
    c = A(:,2);
    r = A(:,1);
    BW = [BW; {roipoly(M,c,r)}];
end

%% Appropriately label individual objects
prompt_obj = [];
def_answ = [];
for item = 1:z3(1,1,1);
    int_obj = [];
    int_obj = 'Object ';
    itm_x = num2str(item);
    int_obj = [int_obj, itm_x];
    prompt_obj = [prompt_obj; {int_obj}];
    def_answ = [def_answ; {FileNm}];
end

options.Resize='on';
options.WindowStyle='normal';
obj_label = inputdlg(prompt_obj,Filename,1,def_answ,options);

%%
zs = size(M);
sr = size(redr);
Mask1 = zeros(zs(1),zs(2));
STATS = [];
for obj = 1:z3(1,1,1);
    CObj = BW(obj,1);
    for erdc = 1:sr(1);
        CObj(redc(erdc), redr(erdc)) = 0; % Subtract exclusion area
    end
    STATS = [STATS; regionprops(CObj, 'all')];
    Mask1 = Mask1 + CObj; % Mask generation
end

OUT = {'Obj #' 'Area' 'Perimeter' 'Major Axis' 'Minor Axis'};
countr_lbl = 0;
for obj = 1:size(STATS,1);
    if STATS(obj).Area>1000;
        countr_lbl = countr_lbl + 1;
        Ar = STATS(obj).Area;
        Peri = STATS(obj).Perimeter;
        MajAL = STATS(obj).MajorAxisLength;
        MinAL = STATS(obj).MinorAxisLength;
        ObjL = obj_label(countr_lbl);
        ObjC = [ObjL, Ar, Peri, MajAL, MinAL];
        OUT = [OUT; ObjC];
    end
end
if b2 == 1;
    figure, imshow(Mask1);
end

button = questdlg('Save data?','Save?','Save?');
switch button
case 'Yes'
    b = 1;
case 'No'
    b = 0;
case 'Cancel'
    b = 2;
end

if b == 1;
    FileOut = [PATH FileNm '.xls'];
    xlswrite(FileOut, OUT);
end

cd(PATH);
mkdir('Processed');
movelfile(Filename(), 'Processed');

loopq = questdlg('Process another sample?','Process another sample?','Yes','No','Cancel','Yes');
switch loopq
    case 'Yes'
        loop = 0;
    case 'No'
        loop = 1;
    case 'Cancel'
        loop = 2;
end
A7: MATLAB image analysis roughness toolset code

Direct download available at:
http://github.com/edfreeburg/MATLAB-roughness-image-analysis/

clear all;
close all;

% Point directory for batch processing
ftypes = {'.jpg', 'JPEG', '*.bmp', 'Bitmap', '*.tif', 'TIF'};
[Filename, PATH, FIndx] = uigetfile(ftypes, 'Select image:', 'C:\MATLAB');
RPF = [PATH, Filename];
switch FIndx
    case 1
        FTa = '*.jpg';
    case 2
        FTa = '*.bmp';
    case 3
        FTa = '*.tif';
end
cd(PATH);

items = dir(FTa); % Index images of same file type
params = dir('*.txt'); % Identify parameter files if saved (JEOL format)

% OUTComp = []; % Preallocate compiled output matrix
for samp = 1:size(items);
    close all;
    % Load image (index by lit in cell array items().name)
    I = imread(items(samp).name);
    [D1, FileNm, D2] = fileparts(items(samp).name);
%% Histogram equalization
if size(I,3)==3;
    I = .2989*I(:,:,1)+.5870*I(:,:,2)+.1140*I(:,:,3);
end
Ir = imresize(I, [NaN 2048]);
Eim = histeq(Ir);
BW1 = im2bw(Eim, 0.66);

%%
BWao = bwareaopen(BW1,8000);
hood = true(9);
closeBWao = imclose(BWao,hood); % Smooth
roughMask = imfill(closeBWao,'holes'); % Fill in holes in mask
I2 = Ir;
I2(~roughMask) = NaN; % Background subtracted raw image
imshow(I2);

%%
button3 = questdlg(items(samp).name,'Skip?','Skip','Cont','Cont'); % Remove error volumes

%%
switch button3
    case 'Skip'
        b3 = 1;
    case 'Cont'
        b3 = 0;
end

%%
if b3 == 0;
    % Remove extraneous features.
    button2 = questdlg('Erroneous extra volume data?'); % Remove error volumes
    switch button2
        case 'Yes'
            b2 = 1;
        case 'No'
            b2 = 0;
        case 'Cancel'
            b2 = 2;
    end

%% Loop to create multiple ROIs for deletion
delc = [];
delr = [];
while b2 == 1;
    imshow(roughMask);
    redc = [];
    redr = [];
    if b2 == 1;
        erd = impoly;
        pos = getPosition(erd);
        c = pos(:,1);
        r = pos(:,2);
        BWi = (roipoly(roughMask,c,r));
        [redc, redr] = find(BWi==1);
delc = [delc; redc];
delr = [delr; redr];
imshow(I2);

button2 = questdlg('Additional erroneous extra volume data?'); % Remove error volumes

switch button2
    case 'Yes'
        b2 = 1;
    case 'No'
        b2 = 0;
    case 'Cancel'
        b2 = 2;
end

%% subtract from roughMask
zs = size(Ir);
sr = size(delr);
Mask1 = zeros(zs(1),zs(2));
for erdc = 1:sr(1);
    roughMask(delc(erdc), delr(erdc)) = 0; % Subtract exclusion area
end

%% construct new mask
roughMask = bwareaopen(roughMask,8000);
I2(~roughMask) = NaN; % Background subtracted raw image
imshow(I2);

%% Analyze standard deviation of pixel-neighbors ~ texture
S = stdfilt(Igrad, nhood);
S = (S./Imean).*100; % RSD
S2 = S>S90; % High RSD = high roughness?
S3 = (~S2); % Inverts to give "smoothness" and removes otolith edge.

S3(~roughMask) = 0; % Removes background
imshow(S3);

%% Calculate object statistics
PxCount = sum(sum(S3)); % # of "smooth" pixels
STATS = regionprops(roughMask,'all'); % Calculates eccentricity
AreaCount = sum(sum(roughMask)); % Area of ROI
SmRat = PxCount/AreaCount; % "Smoothness" ratio.
Ecc = STATS(1).Eccentricity; % Pull eccentricity data for fitted ellipse.
%% Save data to temporary matrix, append to compiled matrix
OutTemp = {FileNm SmRat Ecc};
OUTComp = [OUTComp; OutTemp];

%% Save figures to files
imwrite(I2,[FileNm '_bcksubt.bmp'],'bmp');  % Save background subtracted figure
imwrite(S3,[FileNm '_proc.bmp'],'bmp');  % Save processed image

%% Generate ellipse overlay
EOv = fig('units','pixels','width',zs(2),'height',zs(1));
imshow(S3)
hold on
phi = linspace(0,2*pi,50);
cosphi = cos(phi);
sinphi = sin(phi);

for k = 1:length(STATS)
    xbar = STATS(k).Centroid(1);
ybar = STATS(k).Centroid(2);
    a = STATS(k).MajorAxisLength/2;
b = STATS(k).MinorAxisLength/2;
    theta = pi*STATS(k).Orientation/180;
    R = [ cos(theta) sin(theta)
         -sin(theta) cos(theta)];
    xy = [a*cosphi; b*sinphi];
    xy = R*xy;
    x = xy(1,:) + xbar;
y = xy(2,:) + ybar;
    plot(x,y,'r','LineWidth',2);
end
hold off
%%
else
export_fig(EOv, [FileNm '_overlay.bmp'], '-bmp');
end

%% Create header for output file
header = {'Sample' 'Smoothness Ratio' 'Eccentricity'};
OUTx = [header; OUTComp];
xlswrite('Summary.xls', OUTx, 1);
CHAPTER 5
SUMMARY, DISCUSSION, AND CONCLUSIONS

Summary

Following an exhaustive search of the literature, no clearly described pH-stat CO₂-dosing controller was found. It was necessary to first engineer a system that exhibited both high precision and accuracy of pH control. Following significant development, the Reef Keeper Elite system available from Digital Aquatics, L.L.C., was able to maintain pH with great precision (±0.01 pH units) across the study range (8.0 - 7.3) (Wilcox-Freeburg et al., 2013). Additionally, the flexible software built into the controller allowed for the use of custom synthetic seawater based pH buffer systems for enhanced accuracy (Byrne, 1987; Millero et al., 1993). Additionally, the system has been running for over a year collecting data every three seconds to provide a truly continuous pH record. The cost-effective nature of the system (~$408/aquarium replication) allows for low-cost adoption and entry into the field of study.

Fin-fish are a central food source for humans around the world with global catch estimated at over 88 million tons per year (FAO, 2010). As such, an understanding into the impacts of ocean acidification (OA) on fish physiology is paramount. In fish, the main sensory structure for providing hearing, gravitation, and acceleration sense, are the otoliths and so they represent a crucial organ system for the survival of fish. As a
carbonate structure, these structures are susceptible to OA. The impacts of changed carbonate balance on otolith mineralogy must be studied to elucidate the possible changes to function. However, standardized metrics and functional morphological models have not been established. Indeed, studies that highlighted morphology characteristics measured area normalized to control otolith area (Checkley et al., 2009) or calculated circularity index using a metric with no normalized output yielding values greater than 10 (Munday et al., 2011). To help standardize the measurement of morphology characteristics, I developed a set of MATLAB image analysis scripts to objectively and autonomously calculate morphology characteristics, freely available via GitHub (github.com/edfreeburg/MATLAB-Image-Analysis).

To more fully understand intra-genus variability, I completed trials with Clark's clownfish, *Amphiprion clarkii*, and tomato clownfish, *Amphiprion frenatus*. Using the objective analysis tools developed for MATLAB, I generated data exhibiting linear relationships of high significance between otolith circularity index and pH treatment. These first datasets show possible common effects on otolith circularity index. When compared to *Amphiprion percula* results in previous work (Munday et al., 2011), similar trends were found. Unfortunately, the raw data from the Munday et al. (2011) study are not available and so I was unable to investigate the erroneously high circularity index values (15-25). Of particular importance in my study was the significant relations between otolith circularity and aragonite saturation state for *A. clarkii* lapilli. This is the first data presented for lapilli which is the otolith that serves as the key gravitational sensor in fish (Riley and Moorman, 2000). Although the regression coefficient was low,
the high significance of the coefficient suggests an increase in circularity index with respect to acidifying conditions. Given that function is highly dependent upon maculae interaction (Hardison et al., 2005) with the otoliths and that otolith shape and density are assumed to have high correlation to function (Bignami et al., 2013), the change in morphology I found may be indicative of functional change.

In order to more fully understand otolith mineralization changed under OA, otoliths were imaged using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). These electron micrographs allowed for the collection high precision imagery showing crystal form and general habit. The otolith interacts with the sensory maculae along colliculum trench (Nolf, 1985). Imaging the whole otolith at high resolution allowed for the characterization of core development along the colliculum. I developed a rubric-based web scoring survey to crowd-source the analysis of the otoliths, garnering many replicated readings (6 individual readers). After removing statistical outliers, the resultant core scoring data was regressed against pH treatment. The resultant regression exhibited both high significance and correlation, indicating a confident relation between core development and treatment pH. This is the first data to show significant core structural changes in the otolith and I hope to continue this work in the future to establish behavioral endpoints for fitness evaluation.

**Discussion**

I believe through the development of the pH-stat CO₂-dosing control system, I have provided the OA research community a powerful tool to supplement field studies with single-variable experiments to bolster our understanding of the intricate processes
that feed into the complex physiological changes and thereby interspecies interactions in
response to OA. While single-variable studies will not explain large scale regime shifts at
the community level, such studies remain paramount to our mechanistic understanding at
the individual level. Modeling efforts informing policy decisions are, themselves,
informed by laboratory experimentation and field study verification. Therefore, I believe
I have developed a sound foundation for the future of OA science.

In the context of the interspecies *A. clarkii* and *A. frenatus* experimentation, I
believe these new data corroborates what was seen in *A. percula* suggesting that the
impacts of OA will be similar for other members of this genus. I found similar trends in
circularity for *A. clarkii* and *A. frenatus* as found in *A. percula* (Munday et al., 2011) but
the raw data for the *A. percula* study are not available so no direct comparison of data
was possible. Through the comparison of three species within the genus, I believe otolith
impacts of OA are comparable and therefore recommend further transgenus
experimentation. I found anecdotal evidence that swim behaviors change between species
associated with pH treatment. This evidence indicates slower, less directed swim
behavior under low pH conditions. It is possible, therefore, that these fish are exhibiting
signs of stress including sensory inhibition of their otolith maculae. I did not carry out
comprehensive behavioral analyses due to the inability to appropriately characterize
standardized methods of analysis.

I believe the trends towards more circular otoliths with OA may have an impact in
gravitation sensing. As indicated above, anecdotal evidence suggested inhibition of
otolith function resulting in changed swim behavior. This behavioral change appears to
follow morphological trends in lapilli for *A. clarkii* as more circular otoliths should impact the buoy-like nature of the otolith. Signal transduction from the otolith to the maculae is necessarily changed when otolith shape is changed. I believe these are the first data to be collected to offer mechanistic understanding into functional change seen anecdotally. Behavioral change of a lesser magnitude was reported in fish grown exposed to microgravity, roughly analogous to otolith function inhibition (Hilbig et al., 2002; Anken et al., 1998). We believe the behavioral shifts seen anecdotally imply otolith functional change.

Using rubric-based core development scoring methods, we were able to produce significant results specifically analyzing the region responsible for otolith-maculae interaction. These results are the first showing highly correlated and significant results between pH treatment group and core development. In the context of otolith functional work regarding matrix protein/mineralogy (Hardison et al., 2005), we believe we have exposed functional change in macular sensory transduction with respect to otolith core development. This work should form the basis by which further otolith function investigation progresses.

**Conclusions**

Significant advances to both the fields of Ocean Acidification and otolith studies have been achieved through this dissertation work:

1. A well-described, cost-effective, precise and accurate pH-stat CO$_2$ dosing controller is now a published standard method (Wilcox-Freeburg et al., 2013).
2. Otolith morphology tools for objective, autonomous analysis exists in a freely distributed format.

3. Intra and interspecies analysis of otoliths yielded significant correlation between circularity and pH treatment group, indicating a common intragenus response.

4. Core development has been described in the context of OA for the first time, including significant and highly correlated linear response to pH.

Suggestions for Future Research

Due to the low significance of the regression for *A. frenatus*, additional trials are suggested. Indeed, a large portion of the original stocking did not survive to settlement. While this may be unrelated to experimental parameters, it is important to increase the confidence of this result. Additional intergenus work should also be carried out using the same experimental regimes. There are many additional questions to be investigated such as the impact of long larval periods on otolith development and individual survival. Additionally, it is important to understand the spectrum of responses to OA by making intergenus comparisons. Since clownfish, or damselfish in general, do not represent an important food product, extrapolating the sensory results from these first to economically important species should be prioritized.

Software development for core development analysis is ongoing. Early attempts have yielded data that does not corroborate the scoring method. Since drastic changes in core development are obvious, this software needs to be more finely tuned. We suggest that the software development to continue such that a concise, portable toolkit would be developed for objective, autonomous analysis of core development yielding quantitative
evaluations for further understanding of the impacts of OA on otolith function. Complete
discussion on this software's development will be included in the supplemental sections.

While the results of the core scoring study are significant, quantitative
measurements must be completed to understand fully the functional interaction with the
sensory maculae. 3D modeling technologies are available and we suggest future
collaborations to complete these measurements for model development and insight into
function.

Tying functional models to behavioral endpoints is also suggested.
Standardization of a behavioral test is necessary and development of quantitative metrics
will allow for the analysis of such endpoints. We suggest future experimentation and
collaborations with behavioral experts to develop protocols for quantitative data
collection and analysis.

By coupling these suggested research projects in the context of this dissertation
research, we believe it possible to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the
functional, behavioral, and possibly ecological impacts of Ocean Acidification on otolith
development.
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