

2016

# Data Note: State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' Service Trends

Jean Winsor

*University of Massachusetts Boston, jean.winsor@umb.edu*

ThinkWork! at the Institute for Community Inclusion at UMass Boston

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici\\_datanote](https://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote)



Part of the [Disability Law Commons](#), and the [Public Policy Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Winsor, Jean and ThinkWork! at the Institute for Community Inclusion at UMass Boston, "Data Note: State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' Service Trends" (2016). *Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion*. 65.  
[https://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici\\_datanote/65](https://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote/65)

This Occasional Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Institute for Community Inclusion at ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. It has been accepted for inclusion in Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. For more information, please contact [library.uasc@umb.edu](mailto:library.uasc@umb.edu).

## State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' Service Trends

By Jean E. Winsor


In FY2014, an estimated 605,301 individuals received day or employment supports from state IDD program agencies. This number grew from 455,824 in FY1999. The estimated number of individuals in integrated employment services increased from 108,277 in FY1999 to 115,557 in FY2014. State investment continues to emphasize facility-based and non-work services, rather than integrated employment services. Figure 1 shows the trends in the percentage of people served in integrated employment and facility-based and non-work settings between FY2004 and FY2014.

Since Fiscal Year 1988, the Institute for Community Inclusion has administered the National Survey of State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' (IDD Agencies) Day and Employment Services. The work is funded by the Administration on Developmental Disabilities and is designed to describe the nature of day and employment services for individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities.


### Major findings include:

- National estimates suggest that, after remaining flat between 1999 and 2009, there has been very modest growth in the number of individuals in integrated employment between 2010 and 2014.
- The estimated percentage of individuals participating in integrated employment services was 19.1% in FY2014.
- Growth in supported employment primarily occurred between the mid-1980s and mid-1990s, and there has been a decline in the percentage of people with IDD in integrated employment since 2001.
- Growth in community-based non-work services has continued for states that report offering this service.
- There is large variation across states in participation in integrated employment (Table 1).

Figure 1. Estimated IDD Agency Service Distribution by Year



\*Percents displayed represent estimates for the number of people served in integrated employment nationally (in all 50 states and Washington, DC)



StateData.info

A project of

ThinkWork!

at the Institute for Community Inclusion, UMass Boston



**Table 1. Participation in Day and Employment Services in FY2014**

State	Total Served	Percent Integrated Employment	Percent Community-based Non-work	Percent Facility-based Work	Percent Facility-based Non-work
AK	1,891	23%	0%	0%	98%
AL	4,793	4%	0%	2%	94%
AR	*	*	*	*	*
AZ	8,489	22%	0%	10%	67%
CA	82,963	12%	76%	12%	0%
CO	8,331	28%	72%	0%	53%
CT	10,034	47%	7%	3%	46%
DC	1,253	17%	27%	0%	56%
DE	2,076	26%	13%	28%	31%
FL	17,414	13%	*	*	*
GA	19,530	12%	23%	0%	64%
HI	*	*	*	*	0%
IA	14,725	16%	0%	24%	59%
ID	3,579	6%	1%	0%	0%
IL	23,959	6%	0%	<1%	92%
IN	13,356	14%	77%	34%	48%
KS	6,141	14%	56%	50%	62%
KY	6,984	10%	82%	0%	8%
LA	5,093	32%	<1%	26%	42%
MA	15,728	37%	24%	16%	56%
MD	13,143	39%	0%	0%	61%
ME	3,628	28%	93%	0%	0%
MI	17,352	23%	36%	25%	28%
MN	26,233	11%	30%	50%	7%
MO	5,831	12%	62%	0%	57%
MS	3,021	21%	0%	1%	16%
MT	1,836	24%	0%	58%	52%
NC	15,619	22%	17%	18%	37%
ND	*	*	*	*	*
NE	4,469	0%	1%	0%	0%
NH	3,623	44%	62%	0%	0%
NJ	*	*	*	*	*
NM	3,318	32%	77%	0%	51%
NV	2,451	17%	<1%	47%	36%
NY	62,313	12%	2%	12%	74%
OH	33,386	23%	0%	54%	41%
OK	4,134	60%	29%	56%	0%
OR	10,919	33%	32%	24%	25%
PA	29,132	18%	47%	32%	34%
RI	3,475	0%	58%	14%	78%
SC	7,460	29%	12%	38%	42%
SD	2,546	20%	31%	65%	32%
TN	7,110	19%	94%	<1%	53%
TX	28,663	11%	0%	0%	89%
UT	3,274	21%	79%	0%	0%
VA	15,876	26%	6%	5%	64%
VT	2,985	38%	62%	0%	0%
WA	8,441	86%	12%	6%	<1%
WI	16,569	18%	17%	44%	48%
WV	2,192	38%	100%	<1%	62%
WY	1,448	17%	0%	11%	75%

\*=Data not provided

### Suggested Citation

Winsor, J. E. (2016). State intellectual disability and developmental disabilities agencies' service trends. DataNote Series, Data Note 55. Boston, MA: University of Massachusetts Boston, Institute for Community Inclusion.

This is a publication of StateData.info, funded in part by the Administration on Developmental Disabilities, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (#90DN0295).