

University of Massachusetts Boston

ScholarWorks at UMass Boston

Data Note Series, Institute for Community
Inclusion

Institute for Community Inclusion

2015

Data Note: State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' Service Trends

Jean Winsor

University of Massachusetts Boston, jean.winsor@umb.edu

ThinkWork! at the Institute for Community Inclusion at UMass Boston

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote



Part of the [Disability Law Commons](#), and the [Public Policy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Winsor, Jean and ThinkWork! at the Institute for Community Inclusion at UMass Boston, "Data Note: State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' Service Trends" (2015). *Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion*. 61.

https://scholarworks.umb.edu/ici_datanote/61

This Occasional Paper is brought to you for free and open access by the Institute for Community Inclusion at ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. It has been accepted for inclusion in Data Note Series, Institute for Community Inclusion by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. For more information, please contact scholarworks@umb.edu.

State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' Service Trends

By Jean E. Winsor


In FY2013, an estimated 607,959 individuals received day or employment supports from state IDD program agencies. This number grew from 457,405 in FY1999. The estimated number of individuals in integrated employment services increased from 108,680 in FY1999 to 113,271 in FY2013. State investment continues to emphasize facility-based and non-work services, rather than integrated employment services. Figure 1 shows the trends in the percentage of people served in integrated employment and facility-based and non-work settings between FY2004 and FY2013.

Since Fiscal Year 1988, the Institute for Community Inclusion has administered the National Survey of State Intellectual and Developmental Disability Agencies' (IDD Agencies) Day and Employment Services. The work is funded by the Administration on Developmental Disabilities and is designed to describe the nature of day and employment services for individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities.


Major findings include:

- National estimates suggest that there has been modest growth in the number of individuals in integrated employment since 1988.
- The estimated percentage of individuals participating in integrated employment services was 18.6% in FY2013.
- Growth in supported employment primarily occurred between the mid-1980s and mid-1990s, and there has been a decline in the number of people with IDD in integrated employment since 2001.
- Growth in community-based non-work services has continued for states that report offering this service.
- There is large variation across states in participation in integrated employment (Table 1).

Figure 1. Estimated IDD Agency Service Distribution by Year



*Percents displayed represent estimates for the number of people served in integrated employment nationally including all 50 states and Washington, DC.



StateData.info

A project of

ThinkWork!

at the Institute for Community Inclusion, UMass Boston



Table 1. Participation in Day and Employment Services in FY2013

State	Total Served	Percent Integrated Employment	Percent Community-based Non-work	Percent Facility-based Work	Percent Facility-based Non-work
AK	1,608	26%	0%	0%	98%
AL	4,893	4%	0%	2%	94%
AR	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
AZ	7,471	21%	0%	12%	67%
CA	79,833	12%	75%	13%	0%
CO	7,706	27%	74%	0%	54%
CT	9,764	49%	51%	4%	0%
DC	1,253	12%	-1%	0%	87%
DE	1,923	29%	13%	24%	31%
FL	16,653	14%	0%	0%	0%
GA	19,854	13%	26%	0%	60%
HI	2,180	2%	90%	1%	56%
IA	12,998	17%	0%	25%	58%
ID	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
IL	24,178	6%	0%	1%	93%
IN	13,049	15%	71%	34%	43%
KS	6,200	13%	53%	50%	58%
KY	7,072	18%	96%	0%	13%
LA	5,346	31%	0%	29%	39%
MA	15,523	29%	17%	20%	55%
MD	12,663	40%	0%	0%	60%
ME	3,515	28%	-1	-1	-1
MI	17,746	23%	36%	25%	28%
MN	22,306	13%	25%	53%	8%
MO	5,618	12%	6%	0%	89%
MS	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
MT	1,854	12%	0%	0%	88%
NC	13,625	22%	28%	19%	33%
ND	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
NE	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
NH	3,603	38%	65%	0%	0%
NJ	11,910	11%	0%	22%	63%
NM	3,332	33%	75%	0%	50%
NV	2,372	18%	1%	44%	36%
NY	56,873	13%	0%	14%	82%
OH	34,689	21%	0%	54%	41%
OK	4,050	62%	28%	55%	0%
OR	10,919	33%	32%	24%	25%
PA	28,133	18%	44%	33%	33%
RI	3,475	33%	58%	14%	77%
SC	7,425	29%	11%	38%	42%
SD	2,490	18%	31%	67%	31%
TN	7,026	19%	92%	0%	53%
TX	46,043	8%	0%	1%	54%
UT	3,131	23%	81%	0%	0%
VA	14,127	24%	4%	4%	68%
VT	2,905	38%	63%	0%	0%
WA	8,280	86%	12%	8%	>1%
WI	15,367	21%	13%	44%	50%
WV	2,134	41%	100%	1%	58%
WY	1,428	18%	0%	9%	72%

-1=Data not provided

Suggested Citation

Winsor, J. E. (2015). State intellectual disability and developmental disabilities agencies' service trends. DataNote Series, Data Note 51. Boston, MA: University of Massachusetts Boston, Institute for Community Inclusion.

This is a publication of StateData.info, funded in part by the Administration on Developmental Disabilities, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (#90DN0216).