

University of Massachusetts Boston

ScholarWorks at UMass Boston

Management Science and Information Systems Faculty Publication Series Management Science and Information Systems

January 2005

India's IT Services Industry: A Comparative Analysis

Pratyush Bharati

University of Massachusetts Boston, pratyush.bharati@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umb.edu/msis_faculty_pubs



Part of the [Management Information Systems Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bharati, Pratyush, "India's IT Services Industry: A Comparative Analysis" (2005). *Management Science and Information Systems Faculty Publication Series*. 19.

https://scholarworks.umb.edu/msis_faculty_pubs/19

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Management Science and Information Systems at ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. It has been accepted for inclusion in Management Science and Information Systems Faculty Publication Series by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at UMass Boston. For more information, please contact scholarworks@umb.edu.

India's IT Services Industry: A Comparative Analysis

Pratyush Bharati

University of Massachusetts, Boston

Draft Version. Please comply with the copyright laws as mentioned on:

<http://www.ieee.org/web/publications/journmag/index.html>

Reference:

Bharati, P. (2005), "India's IT Services Industry: A Comparative Analysis", *IEEE Computer*, Vol. 38, No. 1, pp. 71-75.

India's rapidly growing IT services industry has many parallels—and some differences—with East Asia's electronics and computer hardware industries.

In the past decade, India's information technology services industry emerged as an important player in the global IT services market. The country's share of this market, valued at more than \$350 billion, increased from 1.5 percent in 2000-2001 to 1.9 percent in 2002-2003. While worldwide revenue of IT services grew less than 2 percent during this period, India's IT services industry experienced 22 percent revenue growth^{1,2}—a pace comparable to the rise in Hong Kong's electronics industry during the 1970s.³ The outsourcing of IT services by multinational corporations (MNCs) is driving this rapid growth. For example, General Electric's oft-cited 70-70-70 strategy mandates the outsourcing of 70 percent of its IT service requirements, of which 70 percent are given to strategic suppliers, who in turn execute 70 percent of the work outside high-wage countries. GE currently subcontracts more than \$500 million worth of IT services to India, representing about 8 percent of the country's IT services export market.¹ A major factor underlying the boom in IT service outsourcing is the need for MNCs to remain globally competitive by relocating labor-intensive operations overseas to low-wage countries. By moving away from the traditional corporate model of vertical integration to a more flexible "quasi-integrated" model that links various networks of suppliers and distributors, firms seek to complement high economies of scale with low input costs.³⁻⁷

INDIA-BASED IT SERVICE NETWORKS

Each IT service provider in India is part of a larger MNC production network that “combines a lead firm, its subsidiaries and joint ventures, its suppliers and subcontractors, its distribution channels, VARs [value-added resellers], as well as its R&D alliances and a variety of cooperative agreements.... The lead firm outsources not only manufacturing, but also a variety of high-end support services.”⁸ In general, these production networks can be categorized as intrafirm, interfirm, or joint venture networks.

6-11 An intrafirm network consists of subsidiaries that the MNC wholly owns. A joint venture is an equity-based partnership between the MNC and another firm. An interfirm network is a business relationship between the MNC and another company that can have a long-term contractual basis or involve informal short-term, project-based, one-off services.¹²

MNCs source India-based IT services according to one of three models—subsidiary, subsidiary plus IT service provider, or IT service provider—that can include one or more production network subtypes depending on the business relationship between the MNC and its IT service provider(s). Table 1 describes each model and the possible MNC-IT service provider relationships and production network subtypes, along with a few key examples.

The subsidiary plus IT service provider model is currently becoming the dominant MNC model. To better understand India’s IT services industry, it is useful to compare GE’s IT service network, shown in Figure 1, with production networks in East Asia’s electronics and computer hardware industries.

Single supply tier

A unique feature of India-based IT service networks is the absence of multiple tiers of suppliers.

GE and other MNCs have only one tier of suppliers, compared to leading firms in Taiwan’s computer hardware industry, which typically have several layers of suppliers. For example, major computer makers such as Apple, Dell, IBM, NEC, Packard Bell, and Siemens source motherboards from Taiwanese OEMs including Elite, First International Computer, and Tatung, which employ numerous small and medium-size enterprises to source parts.^{3,13} The nature of IT services makes it difficult to subdivide

work among multiple suppliers. Direct interaction with IT service providers allows the MNC to exert greater control over IT services.

Dependency on MNC

In many production networks, the lead firm and its suppliers and distributors are loosely bound together through equity and debt holdings, shared directors, and equipment leases.⁶ India-based IT service networks, though limited to a single supply tier, are closely bound together in dependency relationships similar to those in East Asian production networks. MNCs in India influence their suppliers both as owners and buyers, impacting IT service providers' decisions, offerings, strategies, and prices.

MNC as owner. GE owns all four of its India-based IT service subsidiaries. GE Software Solutions provides implementation, consulting, development, transition, maintenance, and support services to GE and other MNCs. GE Global Technology Solutions provides advanced mainframe software solutions development, client-server solutions, e-commerce technology, and enterprise resource planning for GE Aircraft Engines and GE Appliances. Global Technology Operations—India, owned by GE Medical Systems, designs and develops products and solutions for several computer platforms. The John F. Welch Technology Center is the company's first and largest multidisciplinary R&D facility outside the US.

In addition, GE Equity has a \$108 million stake in Patni Computer Services. PCS is India's seventh-largest IT service provider with a focus on enterprise application solutions, e-business, implementation, and consulting.

Finally, GE has joint ventures with three IT service providers. Satyam—GE Software Services, also known as the India Design Center, is owned by Satyam Computer Services, India's fourth-largest IT service provider, and GE Industrial Systems; it designs and develops new products and software solutions for embedded systems, e-commerce, and human-machine interaction. GE Medical Systems Information Technology is a joint venture between GE Medical Systems and Citadel Health, a niche Indian IT firm. GE Equity and the Birla Group formed BirlaSoft to develop software solutions.

MNC subsidiaries and joint ventures likewise play an important role in Taiwan's computer hardware industry. For example, Texas Instruments and Acer have a joint venture to produce dynamic RAM.³

MNC as buyer. GE is a major customer of its Indiabased subsidiaries and joint venture firms as well as a number of independent companies. In addition, it negotiated a \$100 million deal with Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), India's largest IT service provider. GE also accounts for 20 percent of Satyam's business and 38 percent of revenues of iGATE Global Solutions, a midsize provider of application maintenance and data management solutions. Nucleus Software likewise provides consulting, software development, support, and maintenance services for GE Capital.

Hong Kong's electronics industry also has MNCs as major buyers. For example, VTech serves as an original equipment manufacturer to numerous MNCs based in G-7 countries.³

Government support

The Indian government has played a central role in establishing and promoting the IT services industry. It created software technology parks to provide the necessary office space, data and satellite communication links, and hardware and prioritized funding of the country's telecommunications infrastructure. To facilitate exports, the Indian government provides easily obtainable licenses, rebates, duty-free imports, credits, international trade fair subsidies, and research support, and in 1995 it permanently exempted service exports from income taxes.¹²

This pattern follows the example of Taiwan's government, which established export processing zones in the 1960s to promote electronics production and has since provided financial assistance, training, and research and development support.¹³ The Singapore government likewise helped disk-drive giant Seagate Technology at every step, including a 10-year tax holiday and factory space in an industrial park. The Korean government similarly helped Samsung and other chaebols or conglomerates become major exporters in the electronics market.³

Quality focus

The East Asian electronics and computer hardware industries demonstrate that mastery of lowcost production is not sufficient to remain part of an MNC network. A supplier that wants to move up the value chain—from original equipment to original design manufacturer—must also meet stringent quality, time-to-market, and flexibility

requirements.⁸ Following this example, IT service providers in India put a premium on quality. By December 2002, 254 firms had acquired quality certification—including International Organization for Standardization 9001, Capability Maturity Model, CMM Integrated, People CMM, and Six Sigma—with 77 more in the pipeline. Forty-eight of these had CMM Level 5 certification—the most in the world. All 12 of GE’s IT service-providing entities are quality certified.

Traditionally, application development constituted about 80 percent of India’s IT service exports. Indian firms are now beginning to offer other services such as system integration, package implementation, IT outsourcing, R&D outsourcing, and IT consulting. They have also expanded from banking and telecommunications to include utilities, healthcare, and retailing. Wipro and TCS recently won large IT outsourcing contracts from British utilities, and Infosys is providing mission-critical support for a leading network-equipment-manufacturing MNC. This parallels the East Asian experience. In the late 1960s, US MNCs established cheap production locations in the region, but by the early 1980s they had started to upgrade their assembly platforms and source more parts and components from local firms. In the 1990s, these MNCs further upgraded their subsidiaries and significantly increased sourcing from local firms, which even designed key components.⁹ MNCs have started upgrading some of their Indian subsidiaries, such as GE’s establishment of the John F. Welch Technology Center in 2000, and sourcing higher value-added IT services from local service providers.

AN INDUSTRY IN FLUX

A decade ago, MNCs relied on interim IT service contractors in India, known as “body-shopping” in industry terminology. Today, however, a substantial portion of this work is performed in India

rather than at client sites in G-7 countries. In 1999-2000, revenue from IT services work done in the major industrialized countries was roughly double that of work performed in India; in 2002-2003, revenue of India-based IT service firms increased 49 percent, more than four times the growth rate of IT service companies based in G-7 locations.¹ Table 2 lists the total revenue of the leading IT service firms in India by firm type.

This shift has just begun, with fewer than 40 IT service contracts valued at more than \$20 million. According to a 2000 Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development report, a typical project in India is “small (less than 10 man-years), has a value of less than \$1 million, and involves maintenance, porting an existing application from a legacy platform to a client-server platform or Y2K work.”¹⁴ However, the size of IT service projects is increasing, and conglomerates capable of conducting large projects are emerging in India. For example, TCS recently won three contracts worth more than \$40 million, while Wipro earned a \$70 million deal.

MNCs headquartered in G-7 countries already account for nearly one-quarter of India’s IT service exports, and they continue expanding operations. Major IT MNCs IBM and Oracle mandate Indian development centers as part of all global application development projects—for example, IBM Global Services has six such centers in India with 3,000 employees. Electronic Data Systems and Computer Sciences Corporation have also substantially increased their presence. As the example of GE shows, non-IT MNCs are also vying to provide India-based IT services. Other major MNCs with Indian subsidiaries that export IT services include Hughes, Hewlett-Packard, Siemens, Motorola, Texas Instruments, Intel, i2, and Cisco.

Although India’s IT services industry is divided among many firms, a relatively small group of them have emerged as the main players. The top five IT service companies in India have grown faster than the rest of the industry and now have 32 percent of the market share; the next 50 firms have had considerably slower growth and have only 35 percent of the market share.¹ Thus, India’s IT services industry is not as consolidated as the East Asian

electronics and computer hardware industries. In Taiwan, for example, the top 10 PC manufacturers control 80 percent of total production.¹¹ The rapid growth of India's IT services industry is largely fueled by the country's relatively low IT employee costs, which average only \$5,880 per year.¹ The wholesale shifting of industry locations due to international wage differentials is nothing new. Logitech, the world's largest producer of computer tracking devices, shifted production from the US to Taiwan in 1987 and, eight years later, moved its manufacturing operations from Taiwan to China.^{8,10} Several other MNCs have likewise relocated their production networks to China and other low-wage countries including the Philippines, Argentina, the Czech Republic, and Russia. In fact, several Indian IT service providers, in large part to secure even cheaper labor, have recently opened offices in China and elsewhere.

With some differences, particularly the absence of multiple supply tiers, India's IT services industry is undergoing a transformation similar to that of East Asia's electronics and computer hardware industries. Currently, this industry is dependent on MNCs, partly as owners and mostly as buyers, who are outsourcing more IT service operations to remain internationally competitive. MNCs have adopted different production models, with the subsidiary plus IT service provider emerging as the dominant one.

India-based IT service providers, including MNCs, have significantly enhanced their operations in size, sophistication, and quality to increase market share and vie for higher value-added services. Local as well as MNC IT service providers continue to pursue new locations in India and other countries that provide skill and cost advantages. As these providers achieve quality parity, price-based competition is likely to increase, and industry consolidation along the lines of its East Asian counterparts is likely to follow.

References

1. National Assoc. of Software and Service Companies, Strategic Review 2003: The IT Industry in India, Nasscom Publications, 2003.
2. National Assoc. of Software and Service Companies,

- Strategic Review 2004: The IT Industry in India, Nasscom Publications, 2004.
3. J. Dedrick and K.L. Kraemer, *Asia's Computer Challenge: Threat or Opportunity for the United States and the World?*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1998.
 4. M. Aoki, *Information, Incentives, and Bargaining in the Japanese Economy*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.
 5. C.A. Bartlett and S. Ghoshal, *Managing Across Borders: The Transnational Solution*, 2nd ed., Harvard Business School Press, 1998.
 6. M. Castells, *The Rise of the Network Society*, 2nd ed., Blackwell, 2000.
 7. M. Kenny and R. Florida, *Beyond Mass Production: The Japanese System and Its Transfer to the U.S.*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1993.
 8. D. Ernst, *From Partial to Systemic Globalization: International Production Networks in the Electronics Industry*, working paper 98, Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy, 1997.
 9. M. Borrus, "The Resurgence of US Electronics: Asian Production Networks and the Rise of Wintelism," *International Production Networks in Asia: Rivalry or Riches?*, S. Haggard, D. Ernst, and M. Borrus, eds., Routledge, 2000.
 10. D. Ernst, "Global Production Networks and the Changing Geography of Innovation Systems: Implications for Developing Countries," *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, vol. 11, no. 6, 2002, pp. 497-523.
 11. D. Ernst, "What Permits David to Grow in the Shadow of Goliath? The Taiwanese Model in the Computer Industry," *International Production Networks in Asia: Rivalry or Riches?*, S. Haggard, D. Ernst, and M. Borrus, eds., Routledge, 2000.
 12. R.B. Heeks, *India's Software Industry*, Sage Publications, 1996.
 13. T.S. Poon, *Competition and Cooperation in Taiwan's Information Technology Industry: Inter-Firm Networks and Industrial Upgrading*, Quorum Books, 2002.
 14. A. Arora, "Software Development in Non-member Countries: The Indian Case," *OECD Information Technology Outlook 2000: ICTs, E-Commerce, and the Information Economy*, Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 2000, pp. 131-150.

Pratyush Bharati is an assistant professor of management information systems at the University of Massachusetts Boston's College of Management. His research interests include management information systems and strategy, diffusion of e-commerce technology in small and medium-size enterprises, information systems and service quality, the global digital divide, and global production of software and services. Bharati received a PhD in IT strategy from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. He is a member of the ACM, the Association for Information Systems, and the Information Resources Management Association. Contact him at pratyush.bharati@umb.edu.

Table 1: Firm Network Models

Firm's Network Model*	Description	Possible Relationships	Possible Network Sub-Types	Example
Subsidiary Model	The Indian subsidiary provides IT services to the firm.	Wholly-Owned	Intra Firm	Oracle
Subsidiary plus IT Service Provider Model	The firm employs an Indian and Indian or MNC IT service provider/s.	Wholly-Owned Joint Venture Contractual Informal	Intra Firm Joint Venture Inter Firm	General Electric (GE)
IT Service Provider Model	The firm does not have any Indian subsidiary and only employs the Indian or MNC IT service provider/s either independently or with the assistance of outsourcing firms.	Joint Venture Contractual Informal	Joint Venture Inter Firm	Pyxis

Notes: *: Firms Sourcing IT Services from India

Sources: Network Model (author) and Firm websites, database and Internet searches, Nasscom [11], and Dataquest (www.dqindia.com).


Table 2: IT Services Firms in India – Leading Firms by Firm Type

Firm Type	Examples	World Headquarters/Listed	Worldwide Revenues (2003)
Indian Startup Firms	Infosys	India/NASDAQ	\$ 0.75* billion
	HCL Tech.	India/BSE	\$ 0.39* billion
Indian Conglomerates	TCS	India/Private	\$ 1.04* billion
	Wipro	India/NYSE	\$ 0.90* billion
Joint Ventures	Satyam-GE	India/Private	NA
	BirlaSoft	India/Private	\$ 0.05** billion
IT MNCs	IBM	US/NYSE	\$ 81.2 billion
	Oracle	US/NASDAQ	\$ 9.48 billion
Non-IT MNCs	GE	US/NYSE	\$ 131.7 billion
	Citibank	US/NYSE	\$ 71.3 billion
Mid-size IT Services Firms	Syntel	US/NASDAQ	\$ 0.16 billion
	Covansys	US/NASDAQ	\$ 0.38 billion

Notes: BSE: Mumbai Stock Exchange; NA: Not Available; NASDAQ: National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation; NYSE: New York Stock Exchange; *: 2002-03; **: 2001-02.

Sources: Firm websites, Nasscom [11], US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings

Figure 1: The GE Network Model (Subsidiary plus IT Service Provider Model)



Sources: The figure was constructed by integrating data from firm websites, database and Internet searches, Nasscom [11], and Dataquest (www.dqindia.com).